Financial Acculturation: A Conceptual Framework for Understanding Cultural Fluency in High-Net-Worth Contexts

Amanda Craft, Ph.D.
Western Sydney University

This paper introduces the concept of financial acculturation to describe the psychosocial process by which individuals unfamiliar with high-net-worth (HNW) financial environments acquire the emotional, behavioral, and symbolic fluency required to navigate them. While much existing literature assumes that financial knowledge or access alone ensures confident participation in financial settings, this framework challenges that assumption by highlighting the identity tensions, social discomfort, and cultural disorientation that can accompany upward mobility. Drawing on Berry's (1997) theory of acculturation and Bourdieu's (1986) theory of capital, this study proposes a five-stage model: Exposure, Observation and Sensemaking, Identity Friction, Mimicry and Practice, and Embodiment. These maps show how individuals internalize and adapt to unfamiliar financial cultural norms. The model extends traditional accounts of financial socialization by focusing on class-coded, often unspoken aspects of HNW contexts. Although the framework is particularly relevant for first-generation wealth creators, inheritors, and those marrying into wealth, its application extends to anyone navigating significant economic class transitions. Practical implications for financial therapists and related professionals are discussed, along with suggestions for future scale development that could assist in assessing client progress. The model also identifies situations in which financial acculturation may be contraindicated, such as when identity-erasing code-switching, emotional masking, or adaptation undermines a client's sense of authenticity.

Keywords: class mobility, cultural capital, financial acculturation, financial therapy, high-net-worth individuals, identity transition

INTRODUCTION

Financial success does not guarantee cultural ease in *high-net-worth* (HNW) environments. Individuals who enter these contexts, whether through entrepreneurship, inheritance, career progression, or romantic partnership, may find themselves grappling not only with financial decisions but also with profound cultural and emotional disorientation. Particularly for those from working or middle-class backgrounds, transitioning into high socioeconomic status (high SES) spaces can stir identity tension, social discomfort, and

ISSN: 1945-7774

uncertainty about how to behave, speak, or belong in contexts where wealth is not just material but also symbolic and relational (Friedman, 2014; Reay, 2015).

Much of the financial behavior literature assumes that individuals equipped with financial literacy or resources are sufficiently prepared to function in any financial context (Huston, 2010; Lusardi, 2015). Yet this view overlooks the class-based and often unspoken nature of wealth-related norms, expectations, and etiquette (Bourdieu, 1986). Financial success alone does not grant cultural fluency. For many individuals, especially those navigating upward mobility, the challenge lies not in financial capability but in deciphering and adapting to the social and emotional codes that structure belonging in HNW settings.

This paper introduces the concept of *financial acculturation* to describe this adaptation process. Financial acculturation refers to the internal and interpersonal work involved in acquiring fluency in the behavioral, emotional, and symbolic norms of high SES financial contexts. It draws conceptually on Berry's (1997) theory of acculturation, which describes how individuals navigate new cultural environments through processes of integration, assimilation, separation, or marginalization. It also builds on Bourdieu's (1986) theory of capital, particularly the notion that cultural capital, when embodied, institutionalized, or objectified, can function as social currency. In the context of wealth, cultural capital encompasses not only formal education and credentials but also embodied and interactional dispositions that signal legitimacy, including communicative style, behavioral ease, and affective alignment with institutional norms (Bourdieu, 1984; Lamont, 1992; Khan, 2011; Rivera, 2015).

Despite the relevance of these theories, the cultural and identity-based adjustments required to navigate unfamiliar wealth environments remain largely invisible in financial research and professional practice. Financial literacy frameworks tend to focus on knowledge deficits, and while financial therapy has an advanced understanding of the emotional dimensions of money, it has yet to fully articulate the classed cultural learning curve faced by clients entering high SES contexts (Archuleta & Lutter, 2020; Britt et al., 2015; Klontz et al., 2011). Without a clear framework for interpreting these transitions, practitioners risk pathologizing clients' hesitations as irrational rather than recognizing them as culturally patterned responses to unfamiliar norms.

The objective of this paper is to define and model financial acculturation as a distinct psychosocial process that can support both scholarly inquiry and practitioner awareness. We present a five-stage conceptual model consisting of Exposure, Observation and Sensemaking, Identity Friction, Mimicry and Practice, and Embodiment. This model was developed through abductive reasoning from theory, alongside practitioner observations and client stories about entering wealth. Although the model is framed in terms of HNW environments, it also offers insight into broader transitions across socio-economic strata.

ISSN: 1945-7774

HNW contexts are defined here as a set of interrelated institutional, interpersonal, and symbolic environments in which wealth is managed, discussed, and socially interpreted. These include formal advisory settings (e.g., meetings with financial planners, private bankers, or legal professionals); governance contexts (e.g., family boards, trustee roles, or philanthropic foundations); and social environments in which wealth is implicitly signaled and evaluated, including peer networks, educational institutions, and elite leisure spaces. This distinction reflects sociological accounts of how class operates simultaneously through institutional participation and symbolic interaction (Bourdieu, 1984; Khan, 2011; Lamont, 1992). Clarifying these contexts allows the framework to capture not only financial decision-making but also the broader cultural processes through which legitimacy and belonging are negotiated.

In line with industry convention, HNW individuals are typically defined as those with investable assets exceeding USD 1 million, while ultra-high-net-worth (UHNW) individuals exceed USD 30 million (Capgemini Research Institute, 2023; Credit Suisse Research Institute, 2023). Importantly, the cultural expectations, governance structures, and social environments associated with wealth often differ substantially across these tiers. The present framework is intended to apply across this spectrum, while recognizing that the intensity and form of acculturation demands may vary with scale and institutional complexity.

The paper proceeds as follows. First, it reviews interdisciplinary literature on cultural capital, acculturation, financial socialization, and social mobility. Next, it introduces the five-stage model of financial acculturation and elaborates each stage with illustrative examples. Finally, it outlines implications for financial therapy and adjacent professions, offers suggestions for psychometric development, and considers when financial acculturation may be contraindicated, particularly in cases where adaptation demands emotional masking or identity erasure.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The concept of financial acculturation emerges at the intersection of multiple fields, including cultural capital theory, acculturation psychology, financial socialization, class mobility research, and financial therapy. Although each contributes insights into how individuals learn and internalize financial norms, none directly theorize the lived process of navigating unfamiliar wealth cultures from the perspective of those entering HNW contexts without inherited fluency. This review synthesizes relevant literature to establish the conceptual basis for the proposed framework and situates it within an emerging discourse on wealth, identity, and adaptation.

Although the financial acculturation framework is newly proposed, its underlying dynamics are consistent with established findings in the literature on class mobility and identity transition. Sociological research has documented staged and often tension-filled processes through which individuals adapt to new class environments, including the experience of habitus disruption and gradual behavioral recalibration (Friedman, 2014; Reay, 2015). Similarly, organizational and identity research highlights processes of

ISSN: 1945-7774

provisional selves, role experimentation, and iterative identity construction when individuals enter unfamiliar institutional contexts (Ibarra, 1999). These adjacent literatures provide convergent support for the staged and recursive nature of financial acculturation, even where the specific domain of wealth has not been explicitly theorized.

Cultural Capital and Classed Financial Norms

Cultural capital plays a critical role in shaping who feels legitimate and confident within HNW spaces. Bourdieu (1986) identified three forms of cultural capital: embodied, objectified, and institutionalized, which influence access to power and belonging. These forms operate subtly but powerfully in wealth contexts, where knowing how to speak, behave, and signal status often matters as much as the possession of wealth itself (Bourdieu, 1984; Lamont & Lareau, 1988; Rivera, 2015).

Bourdieu (1986) further distinguishes between three forms of cultural capital: embodied capital, referring to internalized dispositions such as language, comportment, and preferences; objectified capital, reflected in material goods and symbolic markers of taste; and institutionalized capital, such as educational credentials. The present framework focuses primarily on embodied and objectified forms, as these are most directly implicated in the lived experience of navigating HNW environments. While institutionalized capital remains relevant, financial acculturation is concerned less with formal qualifications and more with the acquisition of tacit, interactional, and symbolic competencies that structure belonging in elite contexts (Bourdieu, 1984; Lamont, 1992).

Bourdieu (1986) also emphasized that cultural capital can be acquired through the conversion of other forms of capital. Economic capital may be transformed into cultural capital through education, exposure, and access to elite institutions, while social capital provides access to networks through which norms are learned and reinforced. In the context of financial acculturation, this suggests that individuals may develop cultural fluency not only through inheritance but through sustained participation in advisory relationships, professional networks, and institutional settings that transmit class-specific knowledge and dispositions (DiMaggio, 1982).

In financial settings, cultural capital manifests through fluency in investment language, ease with philanthropic norms, and symbolic markers such as aesthetic taste, educational pedigree, or governance experience (DiMaggio, 1982; Lamont, 1992). These markers are rarely taught explicitly, yet they govern access to influential social and financial networks (Khan, 2011; Sherman, 2017).

Grubman and Jaffe (2021) applied these insights directly to wealth psychology by contrasting the “Middle-Class Script” with the “Wealth Script.” They argue that class-bound norms shape everything from time horizons to parenting, and that newcomers to wealth must often unlearn one script while decoding another. Their *Wealth 3.0* model (Grubman & Jaffe, 2021) further emphasizes identity integration and symbolic literacy as prerequisites for sustainable wealth transfer and emotional well-being.

ISSN: 1945-7774

Symbolic boundaries reinforce these dynamics. Cultural capital functions not only as a resource but as a form of distinction, separating insiders from outsiders (Lamont, 1992; Lamont & Molnár, 2002). Failure to perform HNW cultural norms—whether through language, posture, or behavior—can lead to misrecognition or exclusion, even when financial literacy or net worth is high (Khan, 2011; Reay, 2005).

Code-switching offers a parallel lens. Individuals crossing class boundaries often adjust their behavior to align with dominant norms (Davey, 2012). While sometimes adaptive, code-switching can produce emotional fatigue, identity tension, and shame when clients feel they are masking their origins (Friedman, 2014; Reay, 2015).

Acquisition of cultural capital is further shaped by intersectional identity. Racialized, gender-diverse, and immigrant clients may find their cultural performance policed more strictly, even when they possess the same behavioral fluency (Crenshaw, 1991; Lamont, 2000; Shapiro, 2004). This underscores the importance of viewing financial acculturation not as a neutral skill-building process, but as one shaped by power and social perception.

Acculturation Psychology and Identity Integration

Acculturation theory explains how individuals adapt when exposed to unfamiliar cultural environments. Berry (1997) identified four primary strategies—integration, assimilation, separation, and marginalization—each reflecting how individuals balance heritage identity with the demands of the receiving culture. While originally developed in cross-cultural and immigration contexts, this framework has been extended to institutional, organizational, and class transitions (Schwartz et al., 2010; Ward, 2001).

Adaptation involves more than behavioral change. It is a psychological process marked by identity negotiation, emotional conflict, and the internalization or resistance of new norms (Rudmin, 2003; Sam & Berry, 2010). In contexts of upward mobility, these dynamics can unfold as individuals reconcile past financial identities with the symbolic and behavioral expectations of HNW environments (Friedman, 2014; Reay, 2005).

Grubman and Jaffe (2021) implicitly align with Berry's integration model, describing how clients entering wealth must build bicultural competence by retaining meaningful values while acquiring the cultural fluency to thrive in new financial roles. Wealth 3.0 (Grubman & Jaffe, 2021) further positions identity clarity and internal permission as essential outcomes of successful adaptation.

Financial acculturation extends this tradition by applying acculturation theory to intra-national class movement. Here, the "receiving culture" is not defined by geography but by symbolic codes, expectations, and social scripts that govern belonging in HNW settings.

ISSN: 1945-7774

Financial Socialization and Class Mobility

Financial socialization research has long examined how individuals learn financial behaviors through interactions with parents, peers, schools, and media (Gudmunson & Danes, 2011; Shim et al., 2010). These models consistently show that early exposure to money scripts, financial norms, and economic habits shapes later decision-making and financial well-being (Jorgensen & Savla, 2010). However, this literature typically assumes class continuity and is less attuned to what happens when individuals shift into new socioeconomic strata.

Sociological work on class mobility fills this gap. Friedman (2014) introduced the notion of *habitus clivé* to describe the psychological tension that arises when internalized class-based dispositions conflict with new social environments. Reay (2005, 2015) further documents how upward mobility often produces insecurity, identity splitting, and lingering impostorism, particularly among those who feel they no longer belong in their origin group but are not fully accepted in their destination class. Lareau's (2011) ethnography contrasts middle-class "concerted cultivation" with working-class "natural growth" parenting, showing how early socialization differentially prepares individuals to navigate institutional and classed expectations.

These insights resonate with the Identity Friction stage of the financial acculturation model. Feelings of dislocation, self-monitoring, or emotional discomfort in HNW spaces are not irrational but reflect the deeper cultural mismatch described in mobility studies (Friedman, 2014; Reay, 2005, 2015). Grubman and Jaffe's (2021) framing of "script transition" captures similar dynamics, noting that unresolved attachments to earlier financial identities may constrain full engagement with wealth.

Together, these literatures suggest that financial adaptation is not simply about learning new behaviors but involves navigating complex psychological and cultural terrain. Financial acculturation builds on this by foregrounding the emotional labor required to reconcile inherited scripts with unfamiliar wealth norms.

Financial Therapy and the Emotional Work of Wealth

Financial therapy has emerged as a distinct field that integrates personal finance with psychological and relational frameworks. It draws on theories of family systems, money scripts, attachment, and behavioral economics to explore the emotional underpinnings of financial decision-making (Britt et al., 2015; Klontz et al., 2011). Practitioners use this approach to address conflict, anxiety, and avoidance rooted in formative money experiences (Archuleta et al, 2013; Klontz et al., 2011; Klontz et al., 2012). While early financial therapy focused on individual beliefs and behaviors, recent scholarship has called for greater attention to the structural and cultural sources of financial distress. Lanz et al. (2020) argue that framing clients' struggles solely as personal pathology, such as poor budgeting or money anxiety, obscures the classed and cultural dimensions of financial shame. Financial distress,

ISSN: 1945-7774

they suggest, often reflects a mismatch between origin norms and the symbolic expectations of new financial environments.

This critique is especially relevant in cases of upward mobility or sudden wealth. Grubman and Jaffe (2021) emphasize that for first-generation wealth holders, the primary challenge is often not technical competence but identity dissonance. Emotional permission, legitimacy, and narrative integration are required to fully inhabit new roles within the wealth script. Wealth 3.0 (Grubman & Jaffe, 2021) and Keffeler (2022) extend this view, highlighting the need to surface psychological "tripwires," examine inherited beliefs, and consciously construct a coherent wealth identity.

Financial acculturation builds on this literature by framing emotional discomfort in HNW contexts not as dysfunction, but as a predictable stage in the cultural adjustment process. It links financial therapy's insights about money and identity to the sociocultural realities of class transition.

Liminality and Status Passage

The financial acculturation process can also be situated within the broader anthropological and sociological literature on liminality and status transition. Turner (1969) and van Gennep (1909/2010) describe liminality as a threshold state in which individuals occupy an ambiguous social position between roles or identities. This stage is marked by symbolic dislocation, social uncertainty, and identity fluidity. In this suspended space, previous markers of belonging are no longer valid, yet new roles have not been fully internalized or socially recognized.

Clients navigating financial acculturation, particularly during phases of identity friction or mimicry, often embody this liminal condition. Their outward behaviors may shift in response to new norms, but internal identification has yet to stabilize. This mirrors what Glaser and Strauss (1971) termed "status passage," the psychosocial process by which individuals move between defined social categories. In the context of class mobility, this passage is neither linear nor uniformly acknowledged, and may be prolonged by the absence of ritual, language, or institutional recognition (Daloz, 2009; Turner, 1969).

Recognizing financial acculturation as a form of liminality reframes client distress, inconsistency, or hesitation not as pathology but as a predictable part of identity transformation. This interpretive shift allows practitioners to normalize emotional volatility, symbolic dissonance, or code-switching as part of an unresolved transition, rather than evidence of failure. It also aligns with narrative and trauma-informed practices that validate transitional states as essential to long-term integration (Mezirow, 2000)

In this sense, financial acculturation theory draws not only on psychology and finance but also from ritual and identity scholarship, offering a more compassionate and developmentally informed frame for understanding class-crossing behavior. This framing sets the stage for the five-stage model described in the following section.

ISSN: 1945-7774

MODEL DEVELOPMENT: THE FINANCIAL ACCULTURATION FRAMEWORK

Financial acculturation refers to the psychosocial process by which individuals unfamiliar with HNW environments acquire the emotional, behavioral, and symbolic fluency needed to navigate them. It involves not merely learning new information but adapting to unfamiliar social codes, financial expectations, and identity demands. This process is shaped by both external adaptations, such as learning the language of trusts, family offices, and philanthropic structures, and internal negotiation, including the reconciliation of inherited financial values with unfamiliar wealth norms.

This experience is particularly salient among individuals who enter HNW or UHNW contexts through entrepreneurship, inheritance, marriage, or upward mobility. Those without inherited cultural capital often face invisible barriers to participation and belonging. The process is typically private, emotionally charged, and unspoken, and often unfolds outside of formal education or advice settings.

While related to constructs in financial psychology, education, and behavioral finance, financial acculturation is conceptually distinct. It is not captured by financial literacy or capability frameworks, which focus on cognitive competencies such as budgeting, saving, and investing (Huston, 2010; Kempson et al., 2017). Financial education initiatives similarly emphasize information delivery but frequently overlook the relational, emotional, and class-based aspects of wealth (Blue et al., 2014).

Financial planning and wealth management operate within professional domains that address legal, strategic, and technical matters. These services often assume that clients possess the cultural capital and emotional readiness to engage confidently in conversations about intergenerational wealth, governance, and investment strategy (Archuleta & Lutter, 2020; Britt et al., 2015). Clients who lack these class-based fluencies may feel marginalized or overwhelmed.

Emotional and cultural intelligence are useful in navigating interpersonal or intercultural interactions (Ang & Van Dyne, 2008; Mayer et al., 2008), but they do not account for the symbolic, status-laden, and affectively charged learning curve specific to HNW transitions. These frameworks often treat culture as national or ethnic rather than class-based.

Although financial therapy acknowledges the emotional dimensions of money and identity (Klontz et al., 2011), the field has not yet fully articulated the cultural adaptation work required of clients entering unfamiliar financial environments. Most therapeutic models treat anxiety, avoidance, or shame as intrapersonal phenomena rather than predictable responses to class-related cultural misalignment.

In contrast, financial acculturation explicitly centers this cultural fluency gap. It highlights the identity work, symbolic learning, and embodied discomfort individuals experience as they adapt to wealth cultures that they were not raised in. Drawing on Berry's

ISSN: 1945-7774

(1997) model of cultural adaptation and Bourdieu's (1986) theory of capital, the financial acculturation framework provides a developmental map of this adjustment process.

Importantly, the emphasis on confidence and emotional comfort does not imply that clients are expected to independently execute complex financial decisions. In many HNW contexts, technical authority is delegated to advisors through discretionary mandates, particularly in jurisdictions where portfolio management can be legally outsourced. However, even in these settings, clients remain responsible for selecting advisors, evaluating performance, and making higher-order decisions related to risk tolerance, asset allocation, succession, and governance. Moreover, in many regulatory environments, financial advice frameworks explicitly require informed client consent, meaning that clients must demonstrate sufficient understanding to evaluate recommendations, even if they do not implement them directly (Australian Securities and Investments Commission, 2024; Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 2015).

Beyond formal decision-making, cultural fluency is also required in relational and social contexts where financial knowledge functions as a form of symbolic competence. Research in the sociology of elites shows that participation in high-status networks often presumes a baseline familiarity with financial concepts, investment discourse, and wealth-related practices, regardless of whether individuals are directly managing assets (Khan, 2011; Rivera, 2015). In these settings, the ability to engage in financial conversations, interpret market narratives, or respond appropriately to discussions of risk and opportunity operates as a marker of belonging and legitimacy rather than technical expertise per se.

Accordingly, financial acculturation is not concerned with replacing the role of professional advisors, but with enabling clients to participate meaningfully in the interpretive, relational, and governance dimensions of wealth. Emotional comfort and communicative confidence are therefore understood as forms of embodied cultural capital that support effective engagement across advisory, institutional, and social domains (Bourdieu, 1984; Gennaioli et al., 2015; Harrington, 2016).

It is conceptualized here as a five-stage, recursive process: Exposure, Observation and Sensemaking, Identity Friction, Mimicry and Practice, and Embodiment. Individuals may cycle through these stages more than once as their context changes or as their awareness deepens. Each stage reflects a different set of cognitive, emotional, and behavioral tasks involved in internalizing and performing the unspoken codes of wealth.

ISSN: 1945-7774

Stage 1: Exposure

This initial stage involves encountering elite financial norms, often for the first time. Exposure may come through career success, a romantic relationship, formal financial advice, inheritance, or social environments that differ dramatically from one's upbringing. What marks this stage is not just access to wealth, but access to the culture surrounding it: unfamiliar conversations about trusts, philanthropy, or tax-effective investment strategies; visible status symbols; or the subtle emotional detachment expected in high-stakes financial decision-making.

Example: A newly successful entrepreneur attends a wealth management seminar hosted by their accountant. The language used (family offices, intergenerational planning, and strategic philanthropy) feels alien and intimidating, though they technically understand the financial concepts.

Stage 2: Observation and Sensemaking

Here, individuals notice patterns and differences. They observe how others behave, speak, and make financial decisions in HNW contexts. They may compare these new behaviors to the money norms they grew up with, often unconsciously. This stage involves cognitive dissonance and active reflection as individuals try to make sense of implicit rules: Who speaks in meetings? How do people signal power or discretion? What is considered "classy" versus "gauche"?

Example: A first-generation wealth holder notices that their affluent peers never talk about the price of things. In contrast, their family of origin prized frugality and celebrated bargains. They begin to question what "financial prudence" looks like in this new context.

Stage 3: Identity Friction

This is the emotional heart of the model. Individuals feel caught between their past and present identities. They may feel like impostors, experience guilt or shame, or worry about betraying their roots. They may struggle to assert themselves in financial discussions, defer to others perceived as more "native" to HNW life, or avoid settings that heighten their discomfort. This stage is often where financial therapists first encounter clients not with a lack of knowledge, but with pain, confusion, or dissonance.

Example: A woman who recently married into a wealthy family finds herself silent during family investment meetings. Though she is a competent professional, she feels she doesn't "belong" and worries her working-class parents would judge her for being too comfortable with wealth.

ISSN: 1945-7774

Stage 4: Mimicry and Practice

In this stage, individuals begin to consciously imitate HNW behaviors, language, and decision-making styles. While this may feel artificial or performative at first, mimicry serves as a bridge between observation and internalization. Through repetition, feedback, and experimentation, individuals begin to embody new roles. This stage requires psychological safety, a context where learning is allowed without fear of ridicule or rejection.

Example: A next-generation family member works with a financial adviser to prepare for participation in a family governance meeting. Rather than developing investment strategies independently, they practice articulating questions, expressing preferences, and contributing to discussions about portfolio direction, gradually developing confidence in engaging with advisors and other stakeholders.

Stage 5: Embodiment

Eventually, the new cultural norms become more deeply integrated. Individuals begin to act with greater confidence and fluidity. Emotional discomfort diminishes, giving way to a growing sense of legitimacy and agency. Embodiment does not mean full assimilation or the loss of prior identity; it means clients can navigate HNW environments without constant self-monitoring. They may also begin to reconcile aspects of their past and present, crafting a more coherent financial identity.

Example: Years after building wealth, a retiree now sits on several philanthropic boards. She no longer hesitates to discuss impact investing or estate planning, but she still expresses discomfort with excess and uses it to guide her charitable decisions.

KEY DIMENSIONS OF FINANCIAL ACCULTURATION

Financial acculturation unfolds across multiple interrelated dimensions that reflect not only shifts in behavior and knowledge but also deeper transformations in identity, belonging, and meaning-making. These dimensions include linguistic literacy, behavioral etiquette, symbolic literacy, and emotional navigation. They appear consistently in the experiences of first-generation wealth holders and class-mobile individuals. Each dimension represents a critical domain where adaptation to HNW cultural norms is acquired, tested, and internalized.

The dimensions were developed through thematic analysis and theoretical synthesis, drawing on Bourdieu's (1986) theory of cultural capital. Linguistic literacy and behavioral etiquette constitute embodied cultural capital, encompassing mannerisms, tone, and interpersonal style. Symbolic literacy relates to objectified cultural capital, reflected in preferences for goods, taste, and status signals. Emotional navigation, while not explicitly defined in Bourdieu's (1986) framework, reflects the internalization and regulation of emotional dispositions that enable individuals to operate confidently within classed environments. This dimension resonates with Bourdieu's concept of a "feel for the game"

ISSN: 1945-7774

(Bourdieu, 1990), where cultural competence becomes instinctive and legitimate without needing to be overtly expressed.

Each dimension is supported by empirical themes from the literature on cultural capital, class mobility, and financial identity formation. Research on upward mobility shows how individuals often encounter linguistic and behavioral mismatches when entering unfamiliar class contexts (Friedman, 2014; Khan, 2011; Reay, 2005). Studies on symbolic boundaries and social distinction highlight how consumption, aesthetics, and lifestyle perform critical roles in achieving legitimacy in elite environments (Lamont, 1992; Sherman, 2017). Emotional conflict, shame, and impostor syndrome are frequently reported in the literature on financial anxiety and class transitions (Lanz et al., 2020; Reay, 2015), underscoring the need for a dimension that attends to affective adaptation.

The dimensions also map clearly onto the stages of the financial acculturation model. Linguistic literacy is central during the Exposure and Observation stages, where individuals encounter unfamiliar terminology and discourse styles. Behavioral etiquette becomes salient as individuals begin to test and adopt new norms. Emotional navigation is most activated during Identity Friction, when clients often experience internal conflict, guilt, or shame. Symbolic literacy and emotional regulation consolidate during Embodiment, when clients begin to express fluency with greater coherence and self-trust.

Each of these dimensions may serve as a point of psychological or relational strain. Individuals may feel anxious when they cannot decode the behavioral norms of HNW settings or may experience discomfort when their symbolic signals feel inauthentic. These challenges align with related constructs in the literature. The Cultural Intelligence Scale (Ang et al., 2007) captures behavioral and metacognitive adaptability. The Financial Anxiety Scale (Archuleta et al., 2013) and Klontz Money Script Inventory (Klontz et al., 2011) identify belief-based and emotional barriers to financial confidence and identity integration.

While not yet tested as psychometric constructs, these dimensions offer a robust conceptual foundation for future scale development. A Financial Acculturation Scale could serve as the central measurement tool for operationalizing the model and supporting both empirical validation and applied use. It would assess clients' perceived ease, internal conflict, and behavioral fluency across the four domains of cultural adaptation, linguistic literacy, behavioral etiquette, symbolic literacy, and emotional navigation. Such a scale could help researchers examine patterns of cultural capital acquisition among different population groups and provide a structured diagnostic framework for therapists and advisers. In practice, the scale could support case formulation, identify intervention priorities, and allow for outcome measurement over time.

In addition, two complementary tools, the Financial Clarity Scale (FCS) and the Legacy Literacy Index (LLI), are proposed to assess specific psychological and symbolic capacities relevant to wealth integration. The FCS evaluates clients' perceived coherence, confidence, and decisional ease in financial contexts, capturing elements such as self-trust, clarity of purpose, and emotional readiness to engage. The LLI measures clients' understanding of

ISSN: 1945-7774

legacy-related concepts, intergenerational intent, and their ability to participate meaningfully in wealth transfer conversations. It may also reveal tensions between inherited values and aspirational identities, offering insight into the symbolic and emotional alignment required for effective legacy planning.

Tools such as these could stage-specific interpretation within the financial acculturation model. For example, a low FCS score may indicate that a client is situated in the Identity Friction stage, where internal coherence and financial self-trust are under strain. In contrast, a high LLI score could suggest that the client has reached the Embodiment stage, which is characterized by meaning-making, intergenerational clarity, and philanthropic intention. Mismatched scores, such as high behavioral fluency combined with low emotional integration, may signal unresolved psychological tension beneath otherwise competent adaptation. Practitioners can use these diagnostic cues to guide the pacing of technical strategies, adjust the depth of emotional work, or return to earlier stages in the acculturation process when needed.

Multiple instruments could then be employed as part of a baseline assessment, with re-administration at key points in the advisory or therapeutic relationship to monitor developmental shifts. Improvements in FCS scores may reflect increased congruence between financial choices and personal values, while rising LLI scores could signal greater confidence in navigating family governance, intergenerational stewardship, or philanthropic conversations. Together, these tools translate the abstract constructs of financial acculturation into measurable indicators, offering a dynamic and evidence-informed method for identifying where cultural capital has been internalized, where tensions remain, and where further identity work is required.

In this way, Bourdieu’s (1986) theory of capital does more than inform the model’s conceptual grounding; it directly shapes the structure and relevance of its measurement strategy. The proposed tools reflect a sociologically grounded understanding of how cultural fluency in wealth environments is developed, interrupted, or resisted and how it can be supported through targeted reflection, assessment, and practice.

Linguistic Literacy

Linguistic literacy refers to fluency in terminology, linguistic cues, and communication norms of elite financial environments. This includes formal vocabulary such as “discretionary trust,” “impact investing,” or “carried interest,” but also social language conventions: how wealth is discussed (or not), euphemisms used to mask privilege, and code-switching between financial subcultures.

This dimension parallels the *metacognitive* and *cognitive* subscales of the Cultural Intelligence Scale (Ang et al., 2007), which assesses one’s awareness of cultural differences and knowledge of culturally appropriate terminology. A lack of linguistic literacy can lead to social exclusion or self-silencing, even among clients with significant financial resources.

ISSN: 1945-7774

Example: A first-generation wealth holder hesitates to speak during investment discussions out of fear of misusing terms, even though they are the asset owner.

Behavioral Etiquette

This dimension encompasses the observable norms of behavior in high-wealth spaces, such as how to dress, dine, make introductions, or express emotion in meetings. These practices signal group membership and may be governed by implicit social rules. They often relate to *embodied cultural capital* (Bourdieu, 1986) and must be learned through observation and mimicry.

Behavioral etiquette relates to the *behavioral* subscale of Cultural Intelligence (Ang et al., 2007) and overlaps with interpersonal skill development literature (e.g., Leary, 2001). It also intersects *financial behavior* models (e.g., CFPB, 2015), though those typically focus on day-to-day transactions rather than high-stakes symbolic behaviors.

Example: A client new to wealth is unsure whether to initiate a handshake or wait during introductions at a private banking event and feels embarrassed when they choose "wrong."

Symbolic Literacy

Symbolic literacy involves understanding the status signals embedded in consumption, education, housing, and leisure preferences, which Lamont (1992) called "symbolic boundaries." These cues often shape access to elite social networks and influence perceptions of taste, legitimacy, or "classiness." Clients may feel out of step, not because they lack wealth, but because they are unaware of how it is *performed* in a given subculture.
This dimension resonates with constructs like *materialism* (Richins, 2004), *conspicuous consumption* (Veblen, 1899/2007), and *status anxiety* (de Botton, 2004), but is more relational than acquisitive. In financial therapy, symbolic literacy issues often manifest as discomfort with lifestyle inflation or a desire to "blend in."

Example: A client feels insecure when a peer comments that their child's school is not among the "top three" private options, even though the client believes it is academically excellent.

Emotional Navigation

Emotional navigation refers to how individuals process the affective complexities of entering wealth, including guilt, impostor syndrome, loyalty conflict, and fear of social alienation. Clients may experience "*habitus clivé*" (Friedman, 2014), a split identity where past and present selves are in tension. This dimension is central to financial therapy and aligns closely with work on financial anxiety (Archuleta et al., 2013), money scripts (Klontz et al., 2011), and identity integration in counseling psychology (Ashmore et al., 2004).

ISSN: 1945-7774

It also relates to the emotional intelligence literature (Mayer et al., 2008), though financial emotionality is often more morally charged and socially embedded. Shame, in particular, is a common emotional undercurrent and a key therapeutic theme (Archuleta et al., 2013; Klontz et al., 2011).

Example: A client avoids talking about wealth with their family of origin, fearing they will be judged for "changing" or becoming emotionally unavailable.

Together, these dimensions reveal what financial acculturation entails in practice, not just knowledge or behaviors, but an evolving internalization of culturally specific ways of being, relating, and belonging. They offer practitioners a conceptual scaffold for identifying where clients may be struggling, even when traditional financial measures (net worth, risk tolerance, literacy) suggest competence. Future research could develop and psychometrically validate these dimensions, contributing new tools for culturally attuned financial therapy and advice.

It is important to acknowledge that financial acculturation does not occur in a socially neutral field. The ability to access and perform elite cultural norms is shaped not only by class origin but also by intersecting dimensions of race, ethnicity, gender, sexuality, and migration history. The acquisition of cultural capital may be differentially rewarded or penalized based on these identities, a phenomenon long recognized in critical sociological research (Crenshaw, 1991; Lamont & Molnár, 2002). For example, racialized individuals navigating HNW contexts may face heightened scrutiny or tokenism, even when fluent in the behavioral codes of wealth. Similarly, women or gender-nonconforming clients may encounter implicit bias in elite financial decision-making settings. Future empirical work is needed to disaggregate the ways in which financial acculturation is shaped by these broader systems of inequality.

PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

This framework is not limited to clients who self-manage their finances. Rather, it is particularly relevant in advisor-mediated environments, where clients are required to interpret, respond to, and participate in expert-led decision-making processes. Even when technical authority is delegated, clients must navigate complex interpersonal dynamics, articulate values and preferences, and develop sufficient cultural fluency to engage meaningfully with advisors and governance structures (Gennaioli et al., 2015; Harrington, 2016). The model therefore supports practitioners in recognizing that apparent disengagement or hesitation may reflect cultural misalignment rather than a lack of capability.

The financial acculturation model offers a developmental framework for understanding the identity-level and cultural challenges that can arise when individuals navigate upward financial transitions. While financial literacy, coaching, and therapy models have addressed knowledge gaps, behavioral biases, and emotional distress (Huston, 2010; Klontz et al., 2011), few have accounted for the symbolic and class-based dissonance that

ISSN: 1945-7774

emerges when clients enter HNW environments without inherited cultural capital. Drawing on Bourdieu's (1986) theory of capital and Berry's (1997) model of cultural adaptation, this framework makes visible the often-invisible process of cultural adjustment that underpins client behavior, discomfort, and ambivalence in unfamiliar wealth settings.

Normalizing Identity Friction

Clients navigating new wealth contexts may present with anxiety, indecision, or reluctance to participate in financial conversations. These behaviors often resemble financial avoidance or low confidence but may reflect deeper class-based tension. Research on *habitus clivé* (Bourdieu, 1984; Friedman, 2014; Reay, 2015) suggests that individuals who move across class boundaries often experience a psychological split between past dispositions and current expectations. Naming this as identity friction rather than pathology allows advisers and therapists to validate clients' experiences as part of a broader status passage (Turner, 1969), rather than as resistance or failure. This reframing can reduce shame, normalize internal conflict, and open space for meaningful self-reflection and integration.

Supporting Mimicry as Transitional Learning

In the Mimicry and Practice stage, clients are actively experimenting with new behaviors, language, and financial roles. Literature on adult learning and professional identity suggests that structured rehearsal is often necessary to support transformation (Ibarra, 1999; Mead, 1934). Exercises such as financial role-play, imagined future self-scenarios, and value articulation can help clients explore classed norms while preserving authenticity (Chatterjee & Fan, 2021; Klontz et al., 2012). In financial coaching, psychological safety and behavioral modeling have been shown to facilitate adaptive change (Collins, 2007). This stage should be treated as experimental, developmental, and non-linear.

Attuning to Cultural Fluency, Not Just Literacy

Traditional financial education and planning interventions focus on cognitive skill-building and knowledge acquisition (Huston, 2010; Lusardi, 2015). However, such approaches often ignore the symbolic and cultural barriers that shape participation and comfort in HNW settings. Speech patterns, dress, formality, and emotional restraint can all serve as implicit gatekeeping cues (Bourdieu, 1986; Dholakia et al., 2016; Lamont, 1992). Practitioners should attune to clients' hesitations, language shifts, or deference behaviors as indicators of deeper legitimacy concerns. These signals may reveal identity negotiation processes that require supportive framing rather than corrective advice.

ISSN: 1945-7774

Mitigating Class-Based Bias in Professional Contexts

Advisers and therapists working with HNW clients may themselves be enculturated into class-specific norms, especially in private wealth or family office settings. Without active reflection, professionals may unintentionally project normative expectations or reward clients who "perform" fluency more convincingly. The literature on cultural humility encourages practitioners to engage in ongoing self-awareness, recognize power asymmetries, and inquire into the client's narrative around money, safety, and belonging (Hook et al., 2013; Lareau, 2011; Reay, 2005). Questions such as "What messages did you grow up with about wealth?" or "Which financial settings feel most uncomfortable?" can shift the focus from deficit to context and deepen rapport.

Using the Model as a Developmental Map

The financial acculturation model can support both therapeutic and advisory work by offering a structured lens through which to interpret client experience. Reflective exercises based on the five stages can help clients identify their current position, articulate emerging challenges, and build awareness of growth over time. For financial advisers, recognizing a client's stage can guide the pacing and framing of technical recommendations. Research on adaptive planning highlights that premature introduction of complex strategies can trigger overwhelm if emotional readiness is lacking (Archuleta & Lutter, 2020; Britt et al., 2015). In contrast, clients who have reached the Embodiment stage may be ready to engage with governance, philanthropy, or purpose-driven legacy planning. Suggestions of interventions appropriate for each stage are listed in Table 1.

ISSN: 1945-7774

Table 1

Practical Interventions by Stage of Financial Acculturation

Stage	Client Experience	Practitioner Goal	Suggested Interventions
1. Exposure	Initial contact with HNW norms: curiosity or discomfort	Build trust and surface emotional reactions	- Genogram and values exploration - Psychoeducation about financial cultures - Gentle cultural inquiry
2. Observation and Sensemaking	Watching, comparing, and reflecting on norms and behaviors in new settings	Foster reflective awareness and reduce shame	- Narrative journaling - Guided reflection exercises - Discussion of origin values vs. observed norms
3. Identity Friction	Emotional tension, imposter syndrome, or internal conflict	Normalize tension and support identity integration	- Financial therapy or coaching around identity coherence - Shame resilience work (e.g., Brown (2006))
4. Mimicry and Practice	Experimenting with new behaviors or scripts	Encourage behavioral rehearsal in a safe space	- Role-playing HNW conversations - Future-self visualization - Social scripting or symbolic rehearsal
5. Embodiment	Increased fluency, confidence, and coherence between internal and external behaviors	Consolidate integration and support long-term meaning-making	- Legacy visioning - Philanthropic narrative planning - Mentorship opportunities for others in transition

ISSN: 1945-7774

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

As a conceptual model, financial acculturation offers a structured lens for understanding classed cultural transitions but does not capture the full complexity or diversity of individual experiences. The five-stage framework reflects recurring patterns found in Western, individualistic narratives of wealth but may not generalize across collectivist cultures or non-Western financial scripts. Similarly, it assumes access to HNW contexts, which may be shaped by race, gender, citizenship, and other intersecting identities. The model also reflects a class-based framework grounded in Bourdieu's (1986) conception of capital, which may require adaptation in contexts where religious, familial, or political scripts play a stronger structuring role.

Empirical validation remains a key next step. Future work could test the model qualitatively across diverse populations or develop a psychometric scale to assess movement through the stages and fluency across dimensions. Interventions aligned with each stage could also be piloted to assess effectiveness in improving emotional well-being, identity coherence, or financial participation. More broadly, researchers and practitioners are encouraged to treat the model as a flexible tool, one that prompts inquiry, reflection, and refinement rather than fixed categorization.

CONCLUSION

This paper proposes a conceptual model of financial acculturation to describe the psychosocial processes individuals undergo as they adapt to new financial environments. Drawing on Bourdieu's (1986) theory of capital and Berry's (1997) acculturation framework, the model outlines five dynamic stages: Exposure, Observation and Sensemaking, Identity Friction, Mimicry and Practice, and Embodiment. These stages reflect how individuals negotiate the emotional, behavioral, and symbolic dimensions of wealth culture. The process is supported by four interrelated domains of adaptation: linguistic literacy, behavioral etiquette, symbolic literacy, and emotional navigation. Together, these highlight that adaptation to high-wealth contexts is not merely a matter of technical knowledge or access, but a deeper process of identity formation, cultural interpretation, and emotional integration.

In doing so, the model addresses key limitations in the financial literacy, financial education, and financial therapy literatures, which often assume that acquiring financial knowledge is sufficient for competent participation. By contrast, the financial acculturation framework brings attention to the unspoken cultural codes that govern legitimacy, ease, and belonging in wealth contexts. It recognizes that individuals navigating unfamiliar financial environments, whether through upward mobility, inheritance, marriage, or entrepreneurship, may experience emotional strain not because they lack knowledge, but because of cultural dissonance, symbolic misalignment, or identity disruption.

ISSN: 1945-7774

Although developed in the context of HNW transitions, the model has broader relevance. It applies to anyone crossing economic or class boundaries where financial norms, values, and expectations shift in meaningful ways. It also acknowledges that adaptation is not always appropriate. In some cases, clients may feel pressured to suppress their original values or engage in inauthentic behaviors to gain acceptance. Recognizing these risks allows practitioners to support clients in building cultural fluency without eroding personal identity or autonomy.

Future research should focus on empirically validating the model's stages and dimensions. The development and validation of a formal Financial Acculturation Scale represent an important next step, requiring systematic item generation, exploration, and confirmatory factor analysis, as well as testing across diverse populations to establish reliability and construct validity (DeVellis, 2017). Tools such as a Financial Acculturation Scale, a Financial Clarity Scale, or a Legacy Literacy Index could then be developed to help quantify cultural fluency, decision-making coherence, and intergenerational understanding. These instruments would be valuable for both scholarly analysis and practitioner assessment. Further work is also needed to explore the model's relevance in non-Western and collectivist contexts, where concepts such as legitimacy, legacy, and belonging may take different cultural forms.

Ultimately, this model offers a new language for understanding financial transitions as processes that are not only behavioral or technical, but fundamentally cultural and identity-based. It invites scholars and practitioners alike to move beyond deficit models and embrace the complexity of financial adaptation as a classed, emotional, and socially situated experience.

ISSN: 1945-7774

REFERENCES

Ang, S., Van Dyne, L., Koh, C., Ng, K. Y., Templer, K. J., Tay, C., & Chandrasekar, N. A. (2007). Cultural intelligence: Its measurement and effects on cultural judgment and decision making, cultural adaptation and task performance. *Management and Organization Review, 3*(3), 335–371. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8784.2007.00082.x

Ang, S., & Van Dyne, L. (2008). Conceptualization of cultural intelligence: Definition, distinctiveness, and nomological network. In S. Ang & L. Van Dyne (Eds.), *Handbook of Cultural Intelligence: Theory, measurement, and applications* (pp. 3–15). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781316219223

Archuleta, K. L., Dale, A., & Spann, S. M. (2013). College students and financial distress: Exploring debt, financial satisfaction, and financial anxiety. *Journal of Financial Counseling and Planning*, *24*(2), 50–62.

Archuleta, K. L., & Lutter, S. (2020). Utilizing family systems theory in financial therapy. *Financial Planning Review*, 3(1), Article e1073. https://doi.org/10.1002/cfp2.1073

Ashmore, R. D., Deaux, K., & McLaughlin-Volpe, T. (2004). An organizing framework for collective identity: Articulation and significance of multidimensionality. *Psychological Bulletin, 130*(1), 80–114. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.130.1.80

Australian Securities and Investments Commission. (2024). *RG 175: AFS licensing: Financial product advisers—Conduct and disclosure*. View regulatory guide RG 175

Berry, J. W. (1997). Immigration, acculturation, and adaptation. *Applied Psychology: An International Review, 46*(1), 5–34.

Blue, L., Grootenboer, P., & Brimble, M. (2014). Financial literacy education in the curriculum: Making the grade or missing the mark? *International Review of Economics Education*, *16*, 51–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iree.2014.07.005

Bourdieu, P. (1984). *Distinction: A social critique of the judgement of taste* (R. Nice, Trans.). Harvard University Press. (Original work published 1979)

Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241–258). Greenwood.

Bourdieu, P. (1990). *The logic of practice* (R. Nice, Trans.). Stanford University Press. (Original work published 1980)

Britt, S. L., Archuleta, K. L., & Klontz, B. T. (2015). Theories, models, and integration in financial therapy. In B. T. Klontz, S. L. Britt, & K. L. Archuleta (Eds.), *Financial therapy: Theory, research, and practice* (pp. 15–22). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08269-1_2

Brown, B. (2006). Shame resilience theory: A grounded theory study on women and shame. *Families in Society: The Journal of Contemporary Social Services, 87*(1), 43–52. https://doi.org/10.1606/1044-3894.3483

Capgemini Research Institute. (2023). *World wealth report 2023*. https://www.capgemini.com/insights/research-library/world-wealth-report-2023/

Chatterjee, S., & Fan, L. (2021). Older adults' life satisfaction: The roles of seeking financial advice and personality traits. *Journal of Financial Therapy*, *12*(1) 4. https://doi.org/10.4148/1944-9771.1253

ISSN: 1945-7774

Collins, J. M., Baker, C., & Gorey, R. (2007). *Financial coaching: A new approach for asset building?* PolicyLab Consulting Group. https://fcs.osu.edu/sites/fcs/files/imce/PDFs/financial-coaching-article.pdf

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. (2015). *Financial well-being: The goal of financial education*. https://www.consumerfinance.gov/data-research/research-reports/financial-well-being/

Credit Suisse Research Institute. (2023). *Global wealth report 2023*. https://www.credit-suisse.com/about-us/en/reports-research/global-wealth-report.html

Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, *43*(6), 1241–1299. https://doi.org/10.2307/1229039

Daloz, J.-P. (2009). *The sociology of elite distinction: From theoretical to comparative perspectives*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Davey, G. (2012). Using Bourdieu's concept of doxa to illuminate classed practices in an English fee-paying school. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, *33*(4), 507–525. https://doi.org/10.1080/01425692.2012.662823

de Botton, A. (2004). *Status anxiety*. Pantheon Books.

DeVellis, R. F. (2017). *Scale development: Theory and applications* (4th ed.). Sage.

DiMaggio, P. (1982). Cultural capital and school success: The impact of status culture participation on the grades of U.S. high school students. *American Sociological Review, 47*(2), 189–201. https://doi.org/10.2307/2094962

Dholakia, U., Tam, L., Yoon, S., & Wong, N. (2016). The ant and the grasshopper: Understanding personal saving orientation of consumers. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *43*(1), 134–155. https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucw005

Friedman, S. (2014). The price of the ticket: Rethinking the experience of social mobility. *Sociology*, *48*(2), 352–368. https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038513490355

Gennaioli, N., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. (2015). Money doctors. *The Journal of Finance, 70*(1), 91–114. https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12188

Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1971). *Status passage: A formal theory*. Aldine.

Grable, J. E., Archuleta, K. L., & Nazarina Roy, R. (Eds.). (2011). *Financial planning and counseling scales*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-6908-8

Grubman, J., Jaffe, D. T., & Keffeler, K. (2021). *Wealth 3.0: The future of family wealth advising* [White paper]. Purposeful Planning Institute.

Gudmunson, C. G., & Danes, S. M. (2011). Family financial socialization: Theory and critical review*. Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, *32*(4), 644–667. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-011-9275-y

Harrington, B. (2016). *Capital without borders: Wealth managers and the one percent*. Harvard University Press.

Hook, J. N., Davis, D. E., Owen, J., Worthington, E. L., Jr., & Utsey, S. O. (2013). Cultural humility: Mea*suring openness to culturally diverse clients. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 60*(3), 353–366. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032595

Huston, S. J. (2010). Measuring financial literacy. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, *44*(2), 296–316. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2010.01170.x

ISSN: 1945-7774

Ibarra, H. (1999). Provisional selves: Experimenting with image and identity in professional adaptation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *44*(4), 764–791. https://doi.org/10.2307/2667055

Jorgensen, B. L., & Savla, J. (2010). Financial literacy of young adults: The importance of parental socialization. *Family Relations, 59*(4), 465–478. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3729.2010.00616.x

Keffeler, K. (2022). *The myth of the silver spoon: Navigating family wealth and creating an impactful life.* Wiley. https://www.wiley.com/en-us/The+Myth+of+the+Silver+Spoon%3A+Navigating+Family+Wealth+and+Creating+an+Impactful+Life-p-9781119909705

Kempson, E., Finney, A., & Poppe, C. (2017). *Financial well-being: A conceptual model and preliminary analysis* (Project Note No. 3-2017). Consumption Research Norway (SIFO), Oslo Metropolitan University. https://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/geography/pfrc/pfrc1705-financial-well-being-conceptual-model.pdf

Khan, S. R. (2011). *Privilege: The making of an adolescent elite at St. Paul's School*. Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400836222

Klontz, T., Kahler, R., & Klontz, B. T. (2008). *The financial wisdom of Ebenezer Scrooge: 5 principles to transform your relationship with money*. Health Communications. https://archive.org/details/financialwisdomo0000klon

Klontz, B. T., Britt, S. L., Mentzer, J., & Klontz, T. (2011). Money beliefs and financial behaviors: Development of the Klontz Money Script Inventory. *Journal of Financial Therapy*, *2*(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.4148/jft.v2i1.451

Klontz, B., Britt, S. L., Archuleta, K. L., & Klontz, T. (2012). Disordered money behaviors: Development of the Klontz Money Behavior Inventory. *Journal of Financial Therapy, 3*(1) 2. https://doi.org/10.4148/jft.v3i1.1485

Lamont, M. (1992). *Money, morals, and manners: The culture of the French and the American upper-middle class*. University of Chicago Press.

Lamont, M. (2000). *The dignity of working men: Morality and the boundaries of race, class, and immigration*. Harvard University Press.

Lamont, M., & Lareau, A. (1988). Cultural capital: Allusions, gaps and glissandos in recent theoretical developments. *Sociological Theory, 6*(2), 153–168. https://doi.org/10.2307/202113

Lamont, M., & Molnár, V. (2002). The study of boundaries in the social sciences. *Annual Review of Sociology*, *28*, 167–195. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.28.110601.141107

Lanz, M., Sorgente, A., & Danes, S. M. (2020). Implicit family financial socialization and emerging adults' financial well-being: A multi-informant approach. *Emerging Adulthood, 8*(6), 443–452. https://doi.org/10.1177/2167696819876752

Lareau, A. (2011). *Unequal childhoods: Class, race, and family life* (2nd ed.). University of California Press. https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520949904

Leary, M. R. (2001). *Self-presentation: Impression management and interpersonal behavior*. Westview Press.

Lusardi, A. (2015). Financial literacy: Do people know the ABCs of finance? *Public Understanding of Science*, *24*(3), 260–271. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662514564516

ISSN: 1945-7774

Mayer, J. D., Salovey, P., & Caruso, D. R. (2008). Emotional intelligence: New ability or eclectic traits? *American Psychologist*, 63(6), 503–517. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.63.6.503
Mead, G. H. (2015). *Mind, self, and society* (C. W. Morris, Ed.; D. R. Huebner & H. Joas, Eds.). University of Chicago Press. Mind, Self, and Society (Internet Archive)
Mezirow, J. (Eds.). (2000). *Learning as transformation: Critical perspectives on a theory in progress.* Jossey-Bass. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED448301
Payne, R. K. (2005). *A framework for understanding poverty* (4th ed.). aha! Process, Inc.
Reay, D. (2005). Beyond consciousness?: The psychic landscape of social class. *Sociology*, *39*(5), 911–928. https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038505058372
Reay, D. (2015). Habitus and the psychosocial: Bourdieu with feelings. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, *45*(1), 9–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764X.2014.990420
Richins, M. L. (2004). The material values scale: Measurement properties and development of a short form. *Journal of Consumer Research, 31*(1), 209–219. https://doi.org/10.1086/383436
Rivera, L. A. (2015). *Pedigree: How elite students get elite jobs*. Princeton University Press.
Rudmin, F. W. (2003). Critical history of the acculturation psychology of assimilation, separation, integration, and marginalization. *Review of General Psychology, 7*(1), 3–37. https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.7.1.3
Sam, D. L., & Berry, J. W. (2010). Acculturation: When individuals and groups of different cultural backgrounds meet. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 5*(4), 472–481. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691610373075
Schwartz, S. J., Unger, J. B., Zamboanga, B. L., & Szapocznik, J. (2010). Rethinking the concept of acculturation: Implications for theory and research. *American Psychologist, 65*(4), 237–251. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019330
Shapiro, T. M. (2004). *The hidden cost of being African American: How wealth perpetuates inequality.* Oxford University Press.
Sherman, R. (2017). *Uneasy street: The anxieties of affluence*. Princeton University Press.
Shim, S., Barber, B. L., Card, N. A., Xiao, J. J., & Serido, J. (2010). Financial socialization of first-year college students: The roles of parents, work, and education. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *39*, 1457–1470. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-009-9432-x
Turner, V. (1969). *The ritual process: Structure and anti-structure*. Aldine.
van Gennep, A. (2010). *The rites of passage* (M. B. Vizedom & G. L. Caffee, Trans.). University of Chicago Press. (Original work published 1909)
Veblen, T. (2007). *The theory of the leisure class*. Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1899)
Ward, C. (2001). The A, B, Cs of acculturation. In D. Matsumoto (Ed.), *The handbook of culture and psychology* (pp. 411–445). Oxford University Press.

ISSN: 1945-7774