

10-23-2024

Erratum: Comparing the Use of Visual Analogue Scales and Likert-type Scales in International Agricultural and Extension Education Surveys

JIAEE Editors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/jiaee>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Recommended Citation

Editors, J. (2024). Erratum: Comparing the Use of Visual Analogue Scales and Likert-type Scales in International Agricultural and Extension Education Surveys. *Journal of International Agricultural and Extension Education*, 31(2). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4148/2831-5960.1466>

This Editor Notes is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Journal of International Agricultural and Extension Education* by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. For more information, please contact cads@k-state.edu.

Erratum: Comparing the Use of Visual Analogue Scales and Likert-type Scales in International Agricultural and Extension Education Surveys

Abstract

Correction: The DOI of the article "Gay, K. D., Leal, A., Ruth, T. K., Lamm, A. J., & Rumble, J. N. (2015). Comparing the Use of Visual Analogue Scales and Likert-type Scales in International Agricultural and Extension Education Surveys. *Journal of International Agricultural and Extension Education*, 22(2), 37-51" was incorrect as published. The correct DOI is: 10.4148/2831-5960.1259

Erratum to: Comparing the Use of Visual Analogue Scales and Likert-type Scales in International Agricultural and Extension Education Surveys

In Gay, K. D., Leal, A., Ruth, T. K., Lamm, A. J., & Rumble, J. N. (2015). Comparing the Use of Visual Analogue Scales and Likert-type Scales in International Agricultural and Extension Education Surveys. *Journal of International Agricultural and Extension Education*, 22(2), 37-5. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5191//jiaee.2015.22203>, the digital object identifier (DOI) was incorrect as published. The correct DOI is 10.4148/2831-5960.1259. The original article has not been updated to reflect this change.