

2025

Effects of Increasing Soybean Meal in Diets with or without Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles on Growth Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Pigs in Early and Late Finishing Phases

Paula Giacomini
Kansas State University

Katelyn N. Gaffield
Kansas State University, gaffield@k-state.edu

Robert D. Goodband
Kansas State University, goodband@ksu.edu
Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>



Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)
See next page for additional authors

Recommended Citation

Giacomini, Paula; Gaffield, Katelyn N.; Goodband, Robert D.; Woodworth, Jason C.; Tokach, Mike D.; DeRouchey, Joel M.; Gebhardt, Jordan T.; Petry, Amy; Putnam, Margaret; Gaines, Aaron; Boyd, R. Dean; Shull, Caleb; and Mendoza, Omarh (2025) "Effects of Increasing Soybean Meal in Diets with or without Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles on Growth Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Pigs in Early and Late Finishing Phases," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 11: Iss. 7. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.8770>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 2025 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Effects of Increasing Soybean Meal in Diets with or without Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles on Growth Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Pigs in Early and Late Finishing Phases

Funding Source

The authors wish to thank the United Soybean Board for partial financial support, and the Maschhoffs, Carlyle, IL for providing the animals and technical support for the study.

Authors

Paula Giacomini, Katelyn N. Gaffield, Robert D. Goodband, Jason C. Woodworth, Mike D. Tokach, Joel M. DeRouchey, Jordan T. Gebhardt, Amy Petry, Margaret Putnam, Aaron Gaines, R. Dean Boyd, Caleb Shull, and Omarh Mendoza

Effects of Increasing Soybean Meal in Diets with or without Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles on Growth Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Pigs in Early and Late Finishing Phases¹

Paula Giacomini, Katelyn N. Gaffield, Robert D. Goodband, Jason C. Woodworth, Mike D. Tokach, Joel M. DeRouchey, Jordan Gebhardt,² Amy Petry,³ Margaret Putnam,³ Aaron Gaines,⁴ R. Dean Boyd,⁵ Caleb Shull,⁶ and Omarh Mendoza⁶

Summary

This study aimed to determine the minimum soybean meal (SBM) inclusion in diets with or without distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) to optimize growth performance and carcass characteristics in finishing pigs. For the early finishing period (Exp. 1), a total of 4,080 pigs were used in a 28-day trial. Dietary treatments were arranged in a 2×4 factorial with main effects of DDGS (none or 30%) and increasing SBM (low, low-medium, medium-high, and high). There were 34 pigs per pen and 15 pens per treatment. Diets without DDGS contained 17.5, 23.3, 29.1, or 34.9% SBM, while diets with DDGS contained 3.9, 12.8, 21.8, or 30.6% SBM. For the late finishing period (Exp. 2), a total of 3,984 pigs were used in a 28-day trial. Diets were also arranged in a 2×4 arrangement with main effects of DDGS (none or 15%) and SBM (low, low-medium, medium-high and high). There were 30 to 34 pigs per pen and 15 pens per treatment. Diets without DDGS contained 6.5, 11.5, 16.4, or 21.3% SBM while diets with DDGS contained none, 6.4, 12.8, or 19.2% SBM. The NE of corn was assumed to be 1,228 Kcal/lb and soybean meal was assumed to be 1,267 Kcal/lb (103% of corn NE). Following the 28-day growth study, pigs were marketed on a fixed weight basis across three marketing events, and carcass characteristics were collected. Between experiments, from 140 to 175 lb, pigs were fed a common diet with 7.5% DDGS. In Exp. 1, a tendency ($P = 0.088$) for a linear interaction between DDGS and SBM level was observed for ADG, where increasing SBM decreased ADG in diets with 30% DDGS but did not affect diets without DDGS. Pigs fed 30% DDGS had decreased ($P \leq 0.01$)

¹ Funding, in part, was provided by the United Soybean Board.

² Department of Diagnostic Medicine/Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Kansas State University.

³ Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture, Food and Natural Resources, University of Missouri.

⁴ Ani-Tek Group LLC, Shelbina, MO.

⁵ Animal Nutrition Research LLC, Bowling Green, KY.

⁶ The Maschoffs LLC, Carlyle, IL.

ADFI and poorer F/G than pigs fed the corn-SBM-based diet without DDGS. Increasing SBM, regardless of DDGS inclusion, decreased ADFI (linear, $P = 0.001$) and improved F/G (linear, $P = 0.043$). In Exp. 2, from d 0 to d 28, an interaction (linear, $P \leq 0.05$) between DDGS and SBM level was observed for ADG and ADFI, where increasing SBM reduced ADG and ADFI only in diets containing 15% DDGS. Pigs fed diets without DDGS had a tendency ($P = 0.094$) for improved F/G compared to pigs fed 15% DDGS. Increasing SBM improved F/G (linear, $P < 0.001$) but did not affect final BW. Marginal interactions between DDGS and SBM for percentage lean and loin depth ($P \leq 0.10$) were observed with increasing SBM improving these traits to a greater extent in diets with 15% DDGS. Pigs fed diets without DDGS had increased ($P < 0.05$) HCW, carcass yield, and backfat depth. Increasing SBM decreased carcass yield (linear, $P < 0.001$) and backfat depth (quadratic, $P = 0.033$), regardless of DDGS inclusion. In conclusion, 30% and 15% DDGS negatively affected ADG and F/G in the early and late finishing periods, respectively. Feeding 29.1% SBM without DDGS and 21.8% SBM with 30% DDGS in the early period improved F/G without compromising ADG, while feeding 16.4% SBM without DDGS and 19.2% SBM with 15% DDGS in the late period improved F/G and carcass characteristics. Caloric efficiency was not changed with increasing SBM, suggesting that our initial estimate for SBM of 103% of the NE value for corn was appropriate.

Introduction

Soybean meal (SBM) is a commonly used protein source in swine diets due to its high digestibility and excellent amino acid profile that complements corn. In addition, SBM contains biologically active compounds like isoflavones, saponins, peptides, and omega-3 fatty acids that may enhance the immune response and growth performance of pigs facing health challenges.

Distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) are a co-product of the ethanol industry. They are commonly used in swine diets as a partial substitute for SBM. There are limited data available comparing increasing levels of SBM in diets with or without DDGS. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the minimum amount of SBM required in diets with and without DDGS for growing and finishing pigs to achieve optimal growth performance, caloric efficiency, and carcass characteristics.

Materials and Methods

The Kansas State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved the protocol for this experiment. This study was conducted at a commercial research-finishing site in southern Illinois across four different rooms. The barns were totally enclosed, environmentally regulated, and naturally ventilated. Each pen was equipped with a four-hole, wet-dry feeder and a bowl waterer for *ad libitum* access to feed and water.

Animals and diets

For the early finishing period (Exp. 1), a total of 4,080 pigs were used in a 28-d trial. Pens of pigs were randomly assigned to one of eight dietary treatments in a randomized complete block design with 34 pigs per pen and 15 pens per treatment. Treatments were arranged in a 2×4 factorial with main effects of DDGS (none or 30%) and increasing SBM (low, low-medium, medium-high, and high). Diets without added DDGS contained 17.5, 23.3, 29.1, or 34.9% SBM, while diets with DDGS (30%; Big River Resources, Burlington, IA) contained 3.9, 12.8, 21.8, or 30.6% SBM. For the late

phase period (Exp. 2), a total of 3,984 pigs were used in a 28-d trial. Pens of pigs were randomly assigned to one of eight dietary treatments in a randomized complete block design with 30 to 34 pigs per pen and 15 pens per treatment. Dietary treatments were set up similarly to Exp. 1, as a 2×4 factorial with main effects of DDGS (none or 15%) and increasing SBM (low, low-medium, medium-high, and high). Diets without DDGS contained 6.5, 11.5, 16.4, or 21.3% SBM, while diets with DDGS (15%) contained none, 6.4, 12.8, or 19.2% SBM.

All diets were formulated to be isocaloric, with SBM NE considered to be 103% of corn NE. The NE value for SBM was calculated based on the results of a previous study conducted within this facility. The corn was ground to approximately 225 microns and all diets were pelleted. Dietary additions of feed grade AA were adjusted to meet or exceed AA requirement estimate in relation to Lys for Ile, Met and Cys, Thr, Trp, and Val (Tables 1 and 2). Daily feed additions to each pen were accomplished using a robotic feeding system (FeedPro; Feedlogic Corp., Wilmar, MN). All diets were fed in pellet form. The early phase period was fed for 28 days from approximately 75 to 140 lb. Then all pigs were fed a common diet with 7.5% DDGS until the start of Exp. 2. For the late finishing period, pigs were fed from 175 to 295 lb of body weight. Pigs were weighed approximately every two weeks and at each marketing event to determine ADG, ADFI, and F/G.

Following the 28-day growth study in Exp. 2, pigs were marketed on a fixed-weight basis across three marketing events. Hot carcass weight, loin depth, and backfat measurements were collected. A proprietary equation from the packing plant was used to calculate the percentage lean. Caloric efficiency (CE) was calculated using NE values derived from the formulation and according to NRC (2012) for all ingredients except SBM and DDGS.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed as a completely randomized block design for a two-way ANOVA using the lmer function from the lme4 package in R (version 4.1.1 (2021-08-10), R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), with pen considered the experimental unit. The block was formed by creating eight pens of 34 pigs with similar gender, weaning age, and initial weight. For the late period, within the blocks formed at the start of the early feeding period, the treatments were randomly assigned to the eight pens following the common feeding period. Soybean meal level, DDGS, and their interactions were included as fixed effects. Room and block were included in the model as random effects. Within the main effect of SBM, linear and quadratic contrasts were tested. As the levels of SBM were not evenly spaced and had different inclusion rates depending on the use of DDGS, contrast coefficients were developed for each set of diets, and the average between them was used for the overall linear, quadratic, and interaction of each for SBM level. If there was a significant interaction, contrast statements were conducted within diets utilizing coefficients specific to that diet's SBM level. Results are considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and marginally significant at $0.05 \leq P \leq 0.10$.

Results and Discussion

In the early finishing phase (Exp. 1), a tendency ($P = 0.088$) for a linear interaction between DDGS and SBM was observed for ADG. Increasing SBM decreased the ADG when 30% DDGS was present in the diet but did not affect growth in diets without

DDGS (Table 3). Pigs fed diets with 30% DDGS had decreased ($P \leq 0.01$) final BW, ADFI, and poorer F/G and CE compared to pigs fed diets without DDGS. As SBM increased, final BW increased then decreased (quadratic, $P = 0.007$) at the highest SBM level in both sets of diets. Furthermore, increasing SBM in the diet decreased (linear, $P = 0.001$) ADFI but improved (linear, $P = 0.043$) F/G in pigs fed diets with and without DDGS. The calculated caloric efficiency did not change with increasing SBM and therefore, it appears that our initial estimate for SBM of 103% of the NE value for corn was accurate.

For the late finishing period (Exp. 2), during the 28-d growth period, a significant interaction (linear, $P \leq 0.05$) between DDGS and SBM level was observed for ADG and ADFI, where increasing SBM reduced the growth and feed intake to a greater extent in diets containing 15% DDGS (Table 4). Regardless of SBM level, pigs fed no DDGS were heavier at the end of the study ($P = 0.031$) and tended ($P = 0.094$) to have improved F/G compared to pigs fed 15% DDGS. Increasing the SBM level in diets with or without 15% DDGS, did not affect d 28 BW. However, an improvement (linear, $P < 0.001$) in F/G was observed with increasing SBM. For the 28-d period, CE tended (linear, $P < 0.10$) to decrease, suggesting that for this phase, our initial estimate of NE of SBM was slightly underestimated. Caloric efficiency was also improved ($P < 0.01$) for pigs fed DDGS suggesting our initial NE estimate (1,046 Kcal NE) was also underestimated.

For the entire finishing period up to marketing, a tendency for the SBM $\times$ DDGS interaction was observed for ADFI, where increasing SBM tended to decrease the ADFI in diets containing 15% DDGS but did not affect ADFI in diets without DDGS. Pigs fed diets without DDGS tended to have increased ($P = 0.066$) ADG compared to pigs fed diets with 15% DDGS. Regardless of added DDGS, F/G was improved (linear, $P = 0.016$) with increasing SBM. Caloric efficiency was unaffected by increasing SBM level.

For carcass characteristics, a tendency for the SBM $\times$ DDGS interaction was observed (linear, $P \leq 0.10$) for percentage lean and loin depth, where increasing SBM increased the percentage lean and loin depth only in diets containing 15% DDGS. Pigs fed diets without DDGS had increased HCW, carcass yield, backfat depth, and poorer CE compared to diets with 15% DDGS. Regardless of added DDGS, increasing SBM decreased carcass yield (linear, $P < 0.001$) and backfat depth (quadratic, $P = 0.033$) and worsened HCW-based CE, regardless of DDGS inclusion.

In conclusion, feeding pigs with 30 and 15% DDGS during the early and late finishing periods, respectively, negatively affected most growth performance criteria. For the early finishing period, feeding greater than up to 29.1% SBM without DDGS and 21.8% SBM with DDGS decreased the ADG. However, in the late finishing period, ADG was not affected by SBM level. Moreover, in both periods, increasing SBM levels decreased ADFI resulting in an improvement in F/G regardless of added DDGS. Caloric efficiency was not changed with increasing SBM, suggesting that our initial estimate for SBM of 103% of the NE for corn was appropriate.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank the United Soybean Board for partial financial support, and the Maschhoffs, Carlyle, IL for providing the animals and technical support for the study.

Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. Persons using such products assume responsibility for their use in accordance with current label directions of the manufacturer.

Table 1. Diet composition (as-fed basis, early finishing period)^{1,2}

Soybean meal, %:	No DDGS					30% DDGS ³		
	17.5	23.3	29.1	34.9	3.9	12.8	21.8	30.6
Ingredients, %								
Corn	78.15	72.73	67.28	61.86	62.02	53.63	45.21	36.81
Soybean meal (46% CP)	17.49	23.29	29.11	34.91	3.94	12.84	21.75	30.65
Distillers dried grains with solubles	---	---	---	---	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00
Beef tallow	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Limestone	1.06	1.09	1.12	1.14	1.41	1.45	1.50	1.54
Monocalcium P (21% P)	0.99	0.96	0.93	0.90	0.14	0.10	0.05	---
Copper chloride	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Salt	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
L-Lys-HCl	0.52	0.35	0.17	---	0.79	0.53	0.26	---
DL-Met	0.18	0.13	0.08	0.03	0.12	0.08	0.04	---
L-Trp	0.05	0.03	0.02	---	0.08	0.06	0.03	---
L-Thr	0.19	0.13	0.06	---	0.22	0.14	0.07	---
L-Val	0.15	0.10	0.05	---	0.14	0.10	0.05	---
L-Ile	0.07	0.04	0.02	---	0.12	0.08	0.04	---
Phytase ⁴	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Vitamin premix	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Trace mineral premix	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Titanium dioxide	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Calculated analysis								
SID AA, %								
Lys	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Ile:Lys	55	63	71	79	55	67	78	90
Leu:Lys	111	125	140	154	142	163	185	207
Met and Cys:Lys	57	57	57	57	57	60	64	67
His:Lys	32	37	43	48	32	40	48	56
Trp:Lys	19	21	22	24	19	21	23	25
Thr:Lys	63	65	67	69	63	69	74	80
Val:Lys	68	72	76	81	68	77	87	96
NE, kcal/lb ⁵	1,190	1,197	1,203	1,210	1,136	1,145	1,153	1,162
SID Lys:NE, g/Mcal	3.74	3.71	3.70	3.67	3.91	3.88	3.86	3.83
Ca, %	0.65	0.67	0.69	0.72	0.60	0.63	0.67	0.70
P, %	0.50	0.52	0.53	0.55	0.46	0.49	0.52	0.54
CP, %	14.70	16.69	18.68	20.67	16.29	19.38	22.48	25.58
NDF, %	5.73	5.82	5.91	6.00	12.98	13.11	13.25	13.38

¹Calculated analysis is based on analyzed values for DDGS and soybean meal, while other ingredients were based on nutrient profiles from NRC (2012).

²Treatment diets were fed from 75 to 130 lb.

³Big River Resources, Burlington, IA

⁴HiPhos 2500 (DSM Nutritional Products, Parsippany, NJ) provided 500 FTU/lb for an estimated release of 0.10% STTD P.

⁵Assumed NE values of 1,228, 1,267, and 1,046 kcal/lb for corn, SBM and DDGS, respectively.

Table 2. Diet composition (as-fed basis, late finishing period)^{1,2}

Soybean meal, %:	No DDGS					DDGS, 15 % ³		
	6.5	11.5	16.4	21.3	0.0	6.4	12.8	19.2
Ingredients, %								
Corn	90.05	85.45	80.83	76.23	81.74	75.70	69.65	63.62
Soybean meal (46% CP)	6.55	11.45	16.38	21.28	---	6.40	12.82	19.22
Distillers dried grains with solubles	---	---	---	---	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
Beef tallow	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Limestone	0.89	0.92	0.94	0.97	1.08	1.11	1.14	1.16
Monocalcium P (21% P)	0.53	0.50	0.47	0.45	0.12	0.08	0.04	---
Copper chloride	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Salt	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38
L-Lys-HCl	0.44	0.29	0.15	---	0.57	0.38	0.19	---
DL-Met	0.09	0.06	0.03	---	0.06	0.04	0.02	---
L-Trp	0.05	0.03	0.02	---	0.06	0.04	0.02	---
L-Thr	0.16	0.11	0.05	---	0.17	0.11	0.06	---
L-Val	0.10	0.07	0.03	---	0.09	0.06	0.03	---
L-Ile	0.07	0.05	0.02	---	0.10	0.07	0.03	---
Phytase ⁴	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Vitamin premix	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Trace mineral premix	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
Titanium dioxide	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Calculated analysis								
SID AA, %								
Lys	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66
Ile:Lys	55	64	73	83	55	67	79	91
Leu:Lys	127	145	162	180	150	173	196	219
Met and Cys:Lys	59	60	62	64	59	64	69	73
His:Lys	33	40	46	53	33	42	50	59
Trp:Lys	19	21	22	24	19	21	23	25
Thr:Lys	66	69	71	74	66	71	76	81
Val:Lys	68	75	81	88	68	78	89	99
NE, kcal/lb ⁵	1,198	1,203	1,208	1,214	1,171	1,177	1,183	1,190
SID Lys:NE, g/Mcal	2.50	2.49	2.48	2.47	2.56	2.54	2.53	2.52
Ca, %	0.47	0.49	0.51	0.53	0.45	0.47	0.50	0.52
P, %	0.36	0.37	0.39	0.40	0.35	0.36	0.38	0.40
CP, %	10.33	12.01	13.71	15.40	11.20	13.42	15.66	17.89
NDF, %	5.65	5.73	5.80	5.88	9.28	9.38	9.47	9.57

¹Calculated analysis is based on analyzed values for DDGS and soybean meal, while other ingredients were based on nutrient profiles from NRC (2012).

²Treatment diets were fed from 175 lb to market.

³Big River Resources, Burlington, IA.

⁴HiPhos 2500 (DSM Nutritional Products, Parsippany, NJ) provided 500 FTU/lb for an estimated release of 0.10% STTD P.

⁵Assumed NE values of 1,228, 1,267, and 1,046 Kcal/lb for corn, SBM and DDGS, respectively.

Table 3. Effect of increasing soybean meal (SBM) in the early finishing period in pelleted diets with or without dried distillers grains with solubles (DDGS) on growth performance¹

SBM, %:										<i>P</i> =				
	No DDGS				30% DDGS				SEM	SBM × DDGS		DDGS	SBM level	
	17.5	23.3	29.1	34.9	3.9	12.8	21.8	30.6		Linear	Quadratic		Linear	Quadratic
BW, lb														
Initial	71.3	71.3	71.5	71.6	71.3	71.7	71.6	71.4	3.24	0.431	0.185	0.513	0.254	0.475
Final	129.1	129.4	129.4	128.5	126.7	127.1	127.1	124.8	4.16	0.181	0.273	< 0.001	0.012	0.007
Early period														
ADG, lb ²	2.05	2.06	2.05	2.02	1.97	1.96	1.96	1.89	0.045	0.088	0.674	< 0.001	0.001	0.038
ADFI, lb	4.14	4.13	4.06	4.01	4.08	4.04	4.02	3.89	0.062	0.577	0.619	0.001	< 0.001	0.258
F/G	2.02	2.00	1.98	1.99	2.07	2.06	2.05	2.06	---	---	---	---	---	---
G:F	0.494	0.499	0.503	0.504	0.484	0.486	0.487	0.486	0.008	0.221	0.925	< 0.001	0.043	0.452
CE, kcal/lb gain ³	2,416	2,403	2,394	2,403	2,353	2,363	2,369	2,394	39.2	0.100	0.856	0.005	0.454	0.448

¹A total of 4,080 pigs were used with 34 pigs per pen and 15 pens per treatment. Dietary treatments were arranged in a 2 × 4 factorial with main effects of increasing SBM and DDGS.

²Simple effect of SBM in diets without DDGS (linear, *P* = 0.243); simple effect of SBM in diet with 30% DDGS (linear, *P* < 0.001).

³Caloric efficiency = F/G × dietary NE (kcal/lb). Initial NE values were 1,228, 1,267, and 1,046 Kcal/lb for corn, SBM and DDGS, respectively.

Table 4. Effect of increasing soybean meal (SBM) in the late finishing period (175 lb to harvest) in grow-finish pig pelleted diets with or without the inclusion of dried distillers grains with solubles (DDGS) on growth performance¹

SBM, %:										<i>P</i> =				
	No DDGS				15% DDGS				SEM	SBM × DDGS		DDGS	SBM level	
	6.5	11.5	16.4	21.3	0.0	6.4	12.8	19.2		Linear	Quadratic		Linear	Quadratic
Average days to market ² :	51	50	51	49	50	50	51	50	---	---	---	---	---	---
BW, lb														
Initial	176.7	176.7	176.7	176.7	176.6	176.7	176.7	176.6	4.28	0.963	0.879	0.513	0.254	0.475
d 28	247.1	246.9	249.0	247.9	246.7	247.0	244.7	245.8	4.62	0.160	0.592	0.031	0.927	0.921
Average marketing weight	295.0	294.0	298.2	294.3	293.3	294.4	294.0	295.3	2.30	0.747	0.459	0.274	0.407	0.521
d 0 to 28														
ADG, lb ³	2.50	2.49	2.55	2.53	2.50	2.47	2.42	2.46	0.055	0.031	0.292	0.002	0.919	0.317
ADFI, lb ⁴	6.51	6.52	6.51	6.49	6.62	6.44	6.37	6.28	0.193	0.009	0.512	0.054	0.003	0.707
F/G	2.60	2.62	2.55	2.57	2.65	2.61	2.63	2.55	---	---	---	---	---	---
G:F	0.385	0.383	0.392	0.392	0.378	0.386	0.380	0.394	0.005	0.623	0.506	0.094	< 0.001	0.345
CE, kcal/lb gain	3,119	3,149	3,083	3,107	3,097	3,055	3,121	3,027	43.9	0.788	0.497	0.019	0.095	0.379

continued

Table 4. Effect of increasing soybean meal (SBM) in the late finishing period (175 lb to harvest) in grow-finish pig pelleted diets with or without the inclusion of dried distillers grains with solubles (DDGS) on growth performance¹

											<i>P</i> =				
SBM, %:	No DDGS					15% DDGS				SEM	SBM × DDGS		DDGS	SBM level	
	6.5	11.5	16.4	21.3	0.0	6.4	12.8	19.2	Linear		Quadratic	Linear		Quadratic	
Average days to market ² :	51	50	51	49	50	50	51	50	---	---	---	---	---	---	
d 0 – end of marketing															
ADG, lb	2.37	2.38	2.42	2.42	2.38	2.39	2.33	2.38	0.050	0.101	0.432	0.066	0.283	0.643	
ADFI, lb ⁵	6.54	6.55	6.62	6.59	6.63	6.54	6.48	6.50	0.186	0.065	0.393	0.351	0.545	0.684	
F/G	2.76	2.75	2.74	2.69	2.79	2.74	2.76	2.73	---	---	---	---	---	---	
G:F	0.363	0.365	0.365	0.368	0.359	0.365	0.360	0.367	0.004	0.818	0.987	0.123	0.016	0.790	
CE, kcal/lb gain	3,305	3,304	3,308	3,305	3,263	3,225	3,289	3,246	36.81	0.926	0.975	< 0.001	0.895	0.924	
Carcass characteristics															
Overall marketing event															
Market weight, lb	292.5	292.8	297.1	295.5	289.7	291.4	289.6	293.8	2.86	0.828	0.475	0.028	0.081	0.900	
HCW, lb	218.3	216.1	219.8	215.1	215.1	215.9	214.7	214.7	2.03	0.649	0.625	0.009	0.242	0.321	
Carcass yield, %	74.0	73.5	73.7	73.1	73.4	73.3	73.1	72.7	0.20	0.668	0.585	<0.001	<0.001	0.278	
Lean, % ⁶	53.8	53.9	54.2	54.1	53.7	54.0	54.2	54.2	0.16	0.064	0.615	0.532	<0.001	0.061	
Backfat depth, in	0.76	0.75	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.73	0.71	0.71	0.016	0.452	0.521	<0.001	<0.001	0.033	
Loin depth, in ⁷	2.67	2.66	2.67	2.66	2.61	2.64	2.64	2.66	0.020	0.078	0.758	0.009	0.074	0.573	
HCW CE, kcal/lb gain ⁸	4,467	4,495	4,490	4,524	4,450	4,399	4,503	4,468	44.1	0.959	0.880	0.031	0.045	0.774	

¹A total of 3,984 pigs were used with 30 to 34 pigs per pen and 15 pens per treatment. Dietary treatments were arranged in a 2 × 4 factorial with main effects of SBM and DDGS.²The average days to market were calculated by considering the entire 28-d trial period, in addition to all three marketing events.³Simple effect of SBM in diets without DDGS (linear, *P* = 0.109); simple effect of SBM in diets with 15% DDGS (linear, *P* = 0.145).⁴Simple effect of SBM in diets without DDGS (linear, *P* = 0.781); simple effect of SBM in diets with 15% DDGS (linear, *P* < 0.001).⁵Simple effect of SBM in diets without DDGS (linear, *P* = 0.375); simple effect of SBM in diets with 15% DDGS (linear, *P* = 0.084).⁶Simple effect of SBM in diets without DDGS (linear, *P* = 0.006); simple effect of SBM in diets with 15% DDGS (linear, *P* = 0.013).⁷Simple effect of SBM in diets without DDGS (linear, *P* = 0.982); simple effect of SBM in diets with 15% DDGS (linear, *P* = 0.013).⁸Caloric efficiency = ADFI (d 0 to end marketing) / Carcass ADG (ADG d 0 to end marketing) × (Weighted yield/100) × NE (kcal/lb). Initial NE values were 1,228, 1,267, and 1,046 Kcal/lb for corn, SBM and DDGS, respectively.