

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0
Issue 12 *Keeping up with Research*

Article 29

1975

Effect of Mud on the Market Value of Slaughter Cattle

Herb Ramsey III

Dell M. Allen


Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

Recommended Citation

Ramsey, Herb III and Allen, Dell M. (1975) "Effect of Mud on the Market Value of Slaughter Cattle," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 12. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.7266>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1975 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.





Keeping
Up With
Research
20

OCTOBER 1975

Effect of Mud on the Market Value of Slaughter Cattle

Herb Ramsey, III and Dell M. Allen

How much shrink to allow for mud clinging to the hair-coat is a perennial problem to buyers and sellers of slaughter cattle. The problem is greatest during winter and spring when precipitation is heaviest. During wet periods, cattle can become quite muddy, especially during alternate freezing and thawing weather. Mud accumulates during periods of thaw and freezes during cold snaps. Then mud balls accumulate, especially on the animal's sides, underline, and tail. Such accumulations can be extensive.

Cattle marketed carrying quantities of mud create controversies between buyers and sellers regarding additional shrink or price adjustments.

We collected data related to the question from 167 animals at the Theis Packing Company and Heizer By-Products Company, both of Great Bend, Kansas, during the spring and fall of 1973. All animals were individually weighed, identified by number, and photographed. Their hides were recovered after slaughter, individually weighed, cleaned thoroughly, dried, and

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

Kansas State University, Manhattan

Floyd W. Smith, Director

weighed again to determine weight of mud removed. Each animal was visually appraised for muddiness and given one of four scores:

Muddiness ratings	Score
Clean	1
Slightly muddy	2
Muddy	3
Very muddy	4

Figures 1 through 4 are photographs of animals typical of each group. Table 1 gives mean weight of mud found on animals in each group, its percentage of the animal's live weight, and value of the mud at \$45 per cwt.

Table 1.—Weight of mud, its percentage of animal's live weight, and its value of muddiness groups


Muddiness score	Weight of mud lb.	(kg)	% shrink of live weight	Cost at \$45/cwt
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$ 0.00
2	5.68	2.58	0.56	2.56
3	12.82	5.83	1.27	5.77
4	23.21	10.55	2.31	10.44

The information should provide a guide for arriving at a fair additional shrinkage value for excess mud. When necessary to use it, the value would be added to the customary shrinkage for the marketing area.


Mud on slaughter cattle is a factor only during prolonged wet periods. When it is a problem, buyers and sellers may want to refer to this publication to arrive at fair shrinkage agreements.

1. Contribution 503, Department of Animal Science and Industry, Agricultural Experiment Station, Kansas State University, Manhattan 66506.


Publications and public meetings by the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station are available and open to the public regardless of race, color, national origin, sex, or religion.




**Figure 1.—Clean
0.0 lb. Mud**



**Figure 2.—Slightly muddy
5.7 lb. Mud**



**Figure 3.—Muddy
12.8 lb. Mud**



**Figure 4.—Very muddy
23.2 lb. Mud**

