

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0
Issue 10 *Swine Day (1968-2014)*

Article 300

1984

Cellular immune responses in artificially reared pigs

Frank Blecha

D S. Pollmann

E F. Kluber III

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>



Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Blecha, Frank; Pollmann, D S.; and Kluber, E F. III (1984) "Cellular immune responses in artificially reared pigs," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 10. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.6140>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1984 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



K**S****U**

CELLULAR IMMUNE RESPONSES IN ARTIFICIALLY REARED PIGS¹

Frank Blecha, D. Steven Pollmann,
and Edward F. Kluber, III

Summary

An experiment was conducted to determine the influence of artificial rearing on the cellular immune response of young pigs. Artificially reared pigs had lower cellular immune reactivity than sow-reared controls. These results indicate that artificial rearing may result in immunosuppression in young pigs.

Introduction

Weaning pigs at an early age can increase the productive potential of modern swine units. The economic advantages of early weaning arise from the ability to reduce the farrowing to breeding interval and thus, theoretically, produce more pigs per sow per year. Additionally, in sows that cannot support large litters to conventional weaning, at 3 to 5 wk of age, removing the "extra" pigs at farrowing and raising them artificially could result in more pigs reaching market age. Unfortunately, the earlier the pig is weaned the less likely it is to survive. Many factors may be responsible for the increased mortality and morbidity of early weaned pigs, but recent data indicate that a decreased immunological maturity, due to early or artificial rearing, may account for much of the losses in these rearing systems. Therefore, the objective of this experiment was to determine if the cellular immune response of artificially reared pigs differs from that of sow-reared controls.

Procedures

Twenty-four pigs were used in this study. All pigs received an injection of gentamycin (5 mg) and iron dextran within 12 hours after birth. Two days after farrowing, pigs were allotted by litter and weight to a sow-reared or artificially reared treatment group. Sow-reared pigs remained with the sow for 21 days. Artificially reared pigs were housed in individual cages (1 ft x 2 ft x 1 ft) in an environmentally controlled room with an average temperature of 90° F. Artificially reared pigs were fed a nonmedicated milk replacer for 14 days and then changed to a semi-solid, high-fat diet for the remainder of the 21-day trial.

¹The authors gratefully acknowledge support for this research by the National Pork Producers Council, Des Moines, IA.

Total and differential white blood cell (leukocyte) numbers and body weights were determined weekly for 3 wk. Cellular immunity was evaluated by injecting the mitogen phytohemagglutinin into the flank of all pigs at wk 1 and 3 of the study. Flank skin-fold measurements were taken and the amount of swelling that developed was used as an indicator of cellular immune reactivity. On wk 2 of the trial, lymphocytes were isolated from peripheral blood of all pigs and stimulated with the mitogens phytohemagglutinin (PHA), concanavalin A (Con A), and pokeweed mitogen (PWM). Proliferation of the cultured lymphocytes, as indicated by incorporation of tritiated thymidine (^3H -TdR) into cellular DNA, was used as a second indicator of cellular immunity.

Results and Discussion

Artificially reared pigs displayed an increase ($P < .05$) in total white blood cell numbers. The increase in white blood cells was caused by an increase in ($P < .05$) neutrophils. Sow-reared pigs weighed (x, lb) more ($P < .05$) than artificially reared pigs at wk 1 and 3 (5.31 vs 4.47 and 12.59 vs 6.11, respectively). Phytohemagglutinin skin-test responses (x, Δ mm) were less in artificially reared pigs than in sow-reared pigs at wk 1 and 3 (4.1 vs 5.7 and 3.5 vs 7.6, respectively). Lymphocyte proliferative responses to all three mitogens were less ($P < .05$) in artificially reared pigs when compared to sow-reared pigs (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Proliferative responses of phytohemagglutinin (PHA), concanavalin A (Con A) and pokeweed mitogen (PWM) stimulated lymphocytes from sow-reared and artificially reared pigs.

These data suggest that artificially reared pigs may be immunologically compromised. We are conducting experiments to determine if various immunostimulating drugs can be used to enhance the cellular immune response of artificially reared pigs.