

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0
Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)*

Article 230

2004

Evaluation of Express™ 5-PHM and Titanium® 5-PHM Bac®-1 on high-risk receiving steers

M.P. Epp

Larry C. Hollis

B.B. Barnhardt

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>



Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Epp, M.P.; Hollis, Larry C.; Barnhardt, B.B.; and Blasi, Dale A. (2004) "Evaluation of Express™ 5-PHM and Titanium® 5-PHM Bac®-1 on high-risk receiving steers," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.1633>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 2004 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Evaluation of Express™ 5-PHM and Titanium® 5-PHM Bac®-1 on high-risk receiving steers

Authors

M.P. Epp, Larry C. Hollis, B.B. Barnhardt, and Dale A. Blasi

EVALUATION OF EXPRESS™ 5-PHM AND TITANIUM® 5-PHM BAC®-1 ON HIGH-RISK RECEIVING STEERS¹

M. P. Epp, D. A. Blasi, L. C. Hollis, and B. B. Barnhardt

Summary

One backgrounding field study was conducted at two locations to compare the health and performance of high-risk receiving steers given an Express™ 5-Pasteurella Haemolytica-Multocida (PHM) vaccine or a Titanium® 5-PHM Bac®-1 vaccine. At one location, calves given the Titanium 5-PHM vaccination had fewer first and second repulls ($P < 0.05$). At the other location, calves given the Express 5-PHM vaccination had fewer initial pulls for respiratory disease and more hospital pen days at initial pull ($P < 0.05$) than those given Titanium 5-PHM. No differences were measured at either location for mortality and average daily gain.

Introduction

Throughout the marketing chain, beef cattle can be introduced to many types of stressors and degrees of trauma, such as weaning, exposure to pathogens and handling at sale-barns, and long-distance hauling. Cattle that are "high-risk" have experienced many excessive-trauma stressors over an extended period and are classified as likely to acquire Bovine Respiratory Disease complex (BRD). This disease is ranked first among all disease con-

ditions in U.S. feedyards (NAHMS, 1999) and accounts for millions of dollars of loss to producers every year.

There are several types of BRD, pneumonia being the most prevalent form. Three factors must be present for pneumonia to develop: (1) stress, (2) viral infection, and (3) bacterial infection. To help prevent the onset of a viral infection, a modified live vaccine with common respiratory antigen components is used. Common respiratory viruses in cattle include infectious bovine rhinotracheitis (IBR), parainfluenza 3 (PI3), bovine virus diarrhea (BVD) type I and II, and bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV). Two of the most predominate types of bacterial infections involved in pneumonia are *Mannheimia (Pasteurella) haemolytica* (PHM) and *Pasteurella multocida*.

The objective of this study was to evaluate two different modified live virus-pasteurella combination vaccines, Express 5-PHM and Titanium 5-PHM, on subsequent health and performance of seriously stressed steers. Both vaccines contain the same five modified live viral components: IBR, PI3, BVD type I and II, and BRSV. The vaccines differ in the form of the *Pasteurella haemolytica-multocida*

¹Sincere appreciation is expressed to Porter Farms, Reading and Moxley Ranch, Council Grove, for providing cattle, facilities, and assistance, and to Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica and Elanco Animal Health for support of this study.

component: Express 5-PHM is in a killed form, whereas in Titanium 5-PHM it is in a modified live form.

Experimental Procedures

Location 1. A total of 736 steers (average 352 lb initial body weight) from the southeastern United States, were used at location 1 in East-Central Kansas. These cattle were poorer quality, light-weight, and high-risk calves. Animals were randomly assigned to vaccine treatments and given a metaphylactic treatment of Micotil[®], an endectocide pour-on, Revalor[®]-G growth implant, and were castrated/dehorned upon arrival. The cattle were *not* assigned vaccine treatments on the basis of castration status at time of arrival. Approximately 10 days later, a booster of the original vaccine product without the *Pasteurella haemolytica- multocida* component was given to all steers except those in the hospital pen. Sick animals were pulled and treated according to the standard protocol in use at this location. All cattle were fed a high-energy diet based on corn silage. Uniform health and management procedures were used throughout the study. A final weight was taken of all steers after an average of 93 days.

Location 2. All treatments and procedures at location 2 were the same as those used at location 1, except that a 7-way clostridial vaccine and a Synovex[®]-S growth implant were given at initial processing. A total of 422 steers (average 532 lb initial body weight) originating from the southeastern United States were used at location 2, which was also in East-Central Kansas. These cattle were better quality than those at location 1 and

carried moderate flesh, but they were still considered to be high-risk calves. A final weight was taken of all steers after an average of 84 days.

Results and Discussion

Health and performance data for the locations were not pooled because of the wide range of differences in cattle quality and associated risk level. At location 1, there were fewer first repulls ($P<0.05$) of non-castrate calves (steers upon arrival) that had been given the Titanium 5-PHM treatment (Table 1). There were fewer second repulls ($P<0.05$) of castrates (bulls upon arrival). There were no other significant differences among treatments at location 1 for initial pulls for respiratory disease, hospital pen days, percentage death loss, and average daily gain.

At location 2, there were fewer initial pulls for respiratory disease and more average hospital days when initially pulled ($P<0.05$) in non-castrate calves (steers upon arrival) that had been given the Express 5-PHM treatment (Table 2). There were no other significant differences for the remaining measurements taken at location 2.

Because performance between non-castrates (steers) and castrates (bulls) upon arrival was not the primary focus of this study, statistical analyses were not conducted for these differences. Differences can be seen, however, in initial pulls, death loss, and average daily gain. These differences were particularly marked for the cattle type used at location 1.

Table 1. Performance Differences Between Express 5-PHM Vaccine and Titanium 5-PHM Vaccine on % Pulls for Respiratory Disease, Treatment Days in Hospital Pen for Each Pull Occasion, % Death Loss, and Average Daily Gain at Location 1

Item	Non-Castrate (Steers) ^a		Castrate (Bulls)	
	Express TM	Titanium [®]	Express TM	Titanium [®]
Head	89	74	282	291
Initial Pulls, %*	38.8	23.3	51.2	54.5
First Repulls, %*	22.3 ^b	9.1 ^c	23.4	26.4
Second Repulls, %*	5.6	1.1	9.2 ^b	4.2 ^c
Average Hospital Days				
Initial Pulls*	5.1	4.5	5.1	5.4
First Repulls*	5.4	5.1	4.5	4.7
Second Repulls*	4.3	1.3	3.9	4.2
Death Loss, %*	7.1	10.0	16.3	18.0
Daily gain, lb*	2.0	2.2	1.7	1.8

^aData analyzed separately according to castration status upon arrival.

^{bc}Different superscripts between vaccines differ (P<0.05).

*Means blocked by load.

Table 2. Performance Differences Between Express 5-PHM Vaccine and Titanium 5-PHM Vaccine on % Pulls for Respiratory Disease, Treatment Days in Hospital Pen for Each Pull Occasion, % Death Loss, and Average Daily Gain at Location 2

Item	Non-Castrate (Steers) ^a		Castrate (Bulls)	
	Express TM	Titanium [®]	Express TM	Titanium [®]
Head	52	58	162	150
Initial Pulls, %*	23.1 ^b	43.1 ^c	42.0	48.0
First Repulls, %*	3.5	13.0 ^c	16.0	16.0
Second Repulls, %*	0.5	8.3	5.6	4.0
Average Hospital Days				
Initial Pulls*	5.8 ^b	3.5 ^c	4.5	4.7
First Repulls*	3.3	4.8	4.2	4.8
Second Repulls*	0.0	4.9	3.3	6.2
Death Loss, %*	6.1	2.5	6.1	5.2
Daily gain, lb*	2.7	2.7	2.3	2.2

^aData analyzed separately according to castration status upon arrival.

^{bc}Different superscripts between vaccines differ (P<0.05).

*Means blocked by load.