Undergraduate Research Journal for the Human Sciences

Volume 18
Issue 2025 *2025*

Article 1

April 2025

Breaking the Cycle: Reforming Child Support Debt and Employment Barriers for Incarcerated Parents

Nicholas A. Ciborowski
Texas Tech University, nciborow@ttu.edu

Cynthia L. Miller
Texas Tech University, cynthia.l.miller@ttu.edu

Recommended Citation

Ciborowski, Nicholas A. and Miller, Cynthia L. (2025) "Breaking the Cycle: Reforming Child Support Debt and Employment Barriers for Incarcerated Parents," *Undergraduate Research Journal for the Human Sciences*: Vol. 18: Iss. 2025.

Breaking the Cycle: Reforming Child Support Debt and Employment Barriers for Incarcerated Parents

Introduction

Child support enforcement systems in the United States present significant challenges for incarcerated parents, their children, and the broader society. These challenges intersect with complex issues of poverty, employment discrimination, and family stability. As incarceration rates in the United States remain among the highest globally at 664 per 100,000 residents (The Sentencing Project, 2024), the impact of child support policies on this population warrants critical examination and reform.

Many incarcerated individuals enter correctional facilities with preexisting child support obligations and exit with substantially increased debt burdens. During incarceration, these financial obligations continue to accumulate despite severely limited income potential. Research conducted by the Center for Family Policy and Practice (CFPP) indicates that approximately 85% of incarcerated men have active child support cases, with rates reaching 100% in certain jurisdictions (May, 2017). Upon release, formerly incarcerated individuals confront significant employment discrimination and wage suppression, with post-incarceration earnings frequently falling below the federal poverty threshold of $11,880 annually (May, 2017). This economic uncertainty fundamentally undermines their capacity to fulfill financial obligations and successfully reintegrate into society.

The ramifications of this issue extend beyond individual financial hardship to affect family cohesion and community stability. Current enforcement mechanisms—including automatic wage garnishment, driver's license suspensions, and potential reincarceration for non-payment—often exacerbate rather than ease these challenges. This policy brief argues for a paradigm shift away from predominantly punitive approaches toward rehabilitative strategies that acknowledge the structural barriers faced by formerly incarcerated parents while upholding the important principle of supporting children financially.

This policy brief will: (1) examine the scope and severity of child support debt among incarcerated populations, (2) evaluate the employment barriers that compound these financial challenges, (3) assess the impact of current policies on family relationships and recidivism rates, and (4) propose evidence-based policy reforms aimed at creating more equitable and effective systems.

Literature Review

The Prevalence and Growth of Child Support Debt During Incarceration

Scholarly literature consistently documents the widespread nature of child support obligations among incarcerated individuals and the rapid accumulation of arrears during incarceration periods. According to comprehensive research by the CFPP, up to 85% of incarcerated men nationwide have child support obligations, with some states reporting 100% rates (May, 2017). This high prevalence establishes child support debt as a normative rather than an exceptional challenge for incarcerated parents.

The growth of arrears during incarceration periods occurs at particularly concerning rates. State-level data demonstrates average arrears accumulation of $6,070 in Indiana and $11,758 in Ohio (May, 2017), with annual increases often reaching several thousand dollars. Haney (2018) attributes this rapid accumulation to the structural contradiction between continued legal obligations and the practical impossibility of meeting them within correctional settings, where employment opportunities are limited and wages remain far below market rates.

Employment and Wage Disparities Post-Incarceration

The research literature reveals substantial employment barriers facing formerly incarcerated individuals. In a groundbreaking experimental study, Pager (2003) demonstrated that individuals with felony records face approximately a 50% reduction in employer callback rates compared to similarly qualified applicants without criminal records. This employment discrimination persists across various industries and contributes to unemployment rates of approximately 27% among formerly incarcerated individuals—significantly higher than the general population (Pager, 2003).

These employment barriers translate directly into wage suppression. Maruschak and Snell (2023) found that post-release earnings frequently fall below federal poverty thresholds, creating a substantial gap between financial obligations and earning capacity. This disparity is further compounded by occupational licensing restrictions, which exclude formerly incarcerated individuals from numerous professions (Couloute & Kopf, 2018).

Impact on Family Relationships and Recidivism

Research indicates that excessive child support debt negatively affects parent-child relationships and contributes to recidivism cycles. Turner and Waller (2016) found that fathers with significant arrears experience approximately a 40% reduction in regular contact with their children compared to those without substantial debt burdens. This diminished contact has demonstrable adverse effects on children's well-being and parent-child attachment (Turner & Waller, 2016).

The literature also establishes connections between unmanageable debt burdens and increased recidivism rates. Link and Roman (2017) found that individuals with excessive child support arrears demonstrate higher rates of return to criminal activity, particularly in informal economies, as they attempt to manage insurmountable financial obligations. This creates a counterproductive cycle where enforcement mechanisms designed to ensure child support actually undermine economic stability and legal compliance.

Problem Statement

The issue of child support debt among incarcerated individuals represents a complex systemic crisis affecting low-income families, particularly the children of formerly incarcerated parents. The current enforcement framework creates a paradoxical situation: incarcerated individuals cannot meaningfully reduce their child support obligations during confinement due to limited earnings opportunities and rigid enforcement practices, resulting in substantial debt accumulation. Upon release, these individuals encounter significant employment discrimination and wage suppression that maintains their earnings well below national averages and federal poverty thresholds.

These severe financial constraints fundamentally undermine their capacity to support their families, maintain parent-child relationships, and establish economic stability. This destabilization contributes to cycles of poverty and recidivism that affect multiple generations. The existing regulatory framework and enforcement mechanisms frequently fail to acknowledge the practical realities of incarceration and reentry, prioritizing collection over rehabilitation and family preservation.

Evidence-based policy reforms are necessary to mitigate these challenges while maintaining appropriate support for children. Such reforms must prioritize transitioning from primarily punitive approaches toward rehabilitative frameworks that incorporate debt relief provisions, vocational training opportunities, and improved data collection systems to strengthen family relationships and promote sustainable economic independence.

Evidence and Analysis

Quantitative Data on Child Support Debt

Comprehensive data from multiple jurisdictions illustrate the magnitude of child support debt among incarcerated populations. According to the CFPP, between 70-85% of incarcerated men nationwide have active child support cases, with rates reaching 100% in certain states (May, 2017). This prevalence demonstrates that child support obligations represent a normative rather than an exceptional challenge for incarcerated parents.

The accumulation of arrears during incarceration occurs at concerning rates. State-level data reveals median arrears of $6,070 in Indiana and $11,758 in Ohio, with annual increases often exceeding $2,000 (May, 2017). This growth occurs primarily because most states continue to assess regular payments despite the practical impossibility of meeting these obligations within correctional settings.

Employment and Income Disparities

Post-release employment and income data reveal substantial disparities that impede debt repayment. Experimental research by Pager (2003) demonstrated that individuals with felony records face approximately 50% reduction in employer callback rates compared to equally qualified candidates without criminal records. This discrimination contributes to unemployment rates of approximately 27% among formerly incarcerated individuals—significantly exceeding general population rates.

These employment barriers translate directly into wage suppression. Maruschak and Snell (2023) found that post-release earnings frequently fall below federal poverty thresholds. The median hourly wage for formerly incarcerated individuals ranges from $10.25 to $12.98, resulting in annual incomes well below the necessary threshold for economic self-sufficiency, particularly for those with child support obligations (Maruschak & Snell, 2023).

Family Stability Implications

The financial strain associated with child support debt has measurable impacts on family relationships and stability. Turner (2016) found that fathers with significant arrears demonstrate a 40% reduction in regular contact with their children compared to those without substantial arrears. The resulting disruption in parent-child relationships correlates with adverse developmental outcomes for children, including increased behavioral issues and decreased educational attainment (Turner & Waller, 2016).

Research further indicates that punitive enforcement measures often exacerbate rather than ease these challenges. License suspensions, for instance, frequently impede employment prospects and geographic mobility, thereby undermining the very capacity to meet financial obligations that such measures aim to enforce (May, 2017). This counterproductive dynamic highlights the need for enforcement approaches that prioritize capacity building over punitive mechanisms.

Policy Recommendations

Based on the evidence presented, this analysis proposes a comprehensive framework of policy reforms designed to address the structural challenges associated with child support debt among incarcerated and formerly incarcerated individuals. These recommendations aim to balance the critical need for child support with realistic assessments of capacity and opportunity.

Debt Restructuring and Suspension Programs

A primary recommendation involves implementing systematic debt restructuring and temporary suspension programs for incarcerated parents. States should adopt policies that automatically adjust or temporarily suspend child support obligations during periods of incarceration exceeding six months. This approach acknowledges the practical impossibility of meeting financial obligations during confinement while maintaining the integrity of support systems post-release.

Several jurisdictions have successfully implemented such reforms. For instance, Washington state's "debt compromise" program has demonstrated that realistic modification of arrears increases compliance rates and improves family relationships (May, 2017). These models can be adapted across jurisdictions to create more sustainable approaches to managing child support obligations during incarceration.

Vocational and Employment Support

Enhancing vocational training and employment support services represents a critical intervention for improving economic self-sufficiency among formerly incarcerated parents. Policymakers should expand access to industry-recognized credential programs, apprenticeships, and transitional employment opportunities. Research indicates that such interventions increase post-release earnings by approximately 15-25% and significantly improve compliance with financial obligations (Pager, 2003).

Public-private partnerships offer promising frameworks for implementation. For example, programs that provide subsidized employment during the initial reentry period have demonstrated success in reducing unemployment rates and increasing child support compliance (MDRC, 2020). These approaches should be expanded and institutionalized as components of reentry planning rather than optional add-on services.

Rehabilitative Enforcement Approaches

A paradigm shift from punitive to rehabilitative enforcement mechanisms is essential for sustainable reform. This includes replacing automatic license suspensions with compliance-focused interventions such as flexible payment plans, realistic modification procedures, and incentive systems for consistent payment. Evidence indicates that such approaches improve compliance rates by approximately 30-40% compared to purely punitive models (May, 2017).

Particularly promising are incremental incentive systems that provide tangible benefits for consistent payment, such as reduction in overall arrears, restoration of licenses, and positive credit reporting. These approaches acknowledge the challenges of post-release financial management while maintaining appropriate accountability.

Data-Driven Policy Interventions

Improved data collection and analysis systems are necessary for developing targeted, effective interventions. State agencies should consolidate data across systems (corrections, child support enforcement, employment services) to track outcomes longitudinally and design evidence-based interventions. This includes disaggregating data by race, gender, and socioeconomic status to identify and address disparate impacts.

Such systems would enable a more nuanced understanding of the challenges faced by specific populations and facilitate tailored intervention designs. For instance, data might reveal particular industries or geographic regions where employment discrimination is most severe, allowing for targeted employer engagement strategies.

Investment in Family Support Services

Increasing investment in comprehensive family support services represents an essential complement to financial reforms. This includes expanding access to affordable childcare, family counseling, and co-parenting programs that strengthen relationships between formerly incarcerated parents and their children. Research demonstrates that such interventions improve child well-being outcomes while also increasing the likelihood of consistent financial support (Turner & Waller, 2016).

Particularly valuable are programs that facilitate parent-child visitation during incarceration and support relationship rebuilding during the reentry period. These services acknowledge that financial support represents only one dimension of effective parenting and that maintaining emotional connections serves the best interests of children.

Addressing Counterarguments

While the evidence supports the reform of child support enforcement for incarcerated parents, several important counterarguments warrant consideration. This section addresses potential objections to the proposed reforms and provides evidence-based responses that strengthen the overall case for policy change.

Fiscal Responsibility and Personal Accountability

Critics of reform often argue that modifying child support obligations during incarceration undermines personal responsibility and creates an inequitable system where one group receives special treatment at the expense of taxpayers and other parents. According to this perspective, debt accumulation during incarceration represents a natural consequence of criminal behavior, and reducing these obligations could incentivize irresponsible conduct.

This argument, while intuitively appealing, fails to consider several practical realities. First, research demonstrates that unrealistic debt burdens actually reduce long-term compliance and collection rates. A study by the Washington State Department of Social and Health Services (2023) found that right-sized obligations based on actual earning capacity increased payment compliance by 36% compared to standard obligations. Similarly, states that have implemented debt modification programs report improved long-term collection outcomes, suggesting that pragmatic approaches ultimately generate more support for children than punitive ones.

Furthermore, the accumulation of insurmountable debt creates additional public costs through increased recidivism and prolonged dependence on public assistance. A cost-benefit analysis conducted by Link and Roman (2017) found that jurisdictions implementing flexible child support policies for incarcerated parents experienced net fiscal benefits through reduced reincarceration costs and decreased public assistance expenditures for affected families.

Prioritizing Children's Financial Wellbeing

Another significant counterargument suggests that reducing financial obligations for incarcerated parents potentially prioritizes parents' circumstances over children's financial needs. According to this perspective, any reduction in support obligations necessarily harms children who depend on these resources.

This perspective overlooks how the current system often fails to generate actual financial support for children. When obligations exceed the realistic capacity to pay, the result is typically non-payment rather than partial payment. Research by Haney (2018) shows that child support debt owed by incarcerated parents is hardly ever collected, regardless of enforcement measures employed. This suggests that maintaining unrealistic obligations during incarceration primarily generates paper debt rather than actual support for children.

Moreover, the research demonstrates that reformed approaches focusing on sustainable payment amounts after release actually increase the total support received by children over time. A longitudinal study by Meyer et al. (2020) found that children whose non-custodial parents received debt modification and employment support received 41% more actual financial support over five years compared to those subject to standard enforcement approaches.

Public Safety and Compliance Concerns

Some critics contend that creating specialized policies for incarcerated parents could undermine the broader enforcement system and reduce general compliance with child support obligations. According to this argument, maintaining consistent, strict enforcement is necessary to ensure compliance across all populations.

However, research indicates that differentiated enforcement approaches based on the ability to pay actually strengthen rather than weaken the overall system. A comparative analysis by the National Conference of State Legislatures (2022) found that states implementing flexible policies for specific populations demonstrated improved compliance rates across all categories of non-custodial parents. This suggests that a system perceived as fair and realistic generates greater voluntary compliance than one seen as arbitrarily punitive.

Furthermore, research on procedural justice indicates that enforcement systems perceived as legitimate and fair generate higher voluntary compliance rates. When parents believe that the system acknowledges their circumstances and provides realistic pathways to compliance, they demonstrate greater commitment to meeting their obligations (Tyler & Sevier, 2014).

Implementation Challenges

A final counterargument focuses on the practical difficulties of implementing differentiated enforcement systems. Critics note the challenges of data-sharing across agencies, the administrative burden of case-by-case assessments, and the potential costs of modifying existing enforcement infrastructure.

While these implementation challenges are real, numerous jurisdictions have successfully overcome them through strategic planning and phased implementation. Colorado’s Child Support Services, for instance, developed an effective cross-agency coordination system that automatically identifies incarcerated parents and initiates a review of their support obligations

(Martin, 2017). This system required initial investment but has demonstrated cost savings through reduced administrative burden for enforcement staff and courts.

Similarly, California's Compromise of Arrears Program (COAP) has established streamlined processes for debt modification that minimize administrative complexity while maintaining appropriate accountability measures. The program's success demonstrates that implementation challenges can be addressed through thoughtful system design and stakeholder engagement (California Department of Child Support Services, 2021).

Implementation Considerations

The successful implementation of these policy recommendations requires coordinated efforts across multiple systems and stakeholders. Several factors warrant particular consideration:

1. **Legislative Frameworks**: Many reforms will require legislative changes to modify existing child support guidelines and enforcement mechanisms. Advocates should develop model legislation that can be adapted across jurisdictions.
2. **Funding Mechanisms**: Implementation will require strategic investment, particularly in employment services and family support programs. Cost-benefit analyses should emphasize long-term savings associated with reduced recidivism and increased self-sufficiency.
3. **Cross-System Coordination**: Effective implementation demands collaboration across traditionally siloed systems, including corrections, child support enforcement, workforce development, and family services. Formal coordination mechanisms should be established to facilitate information sharing and coordinated case management.

4. **Evaluation Frameworks**: Comprehensive evaluation systems should be integrated into implementation plans to assess effectiveness and facilitate continuous improvement. These should include both process and outcome measures across multiple domains.

Conclusion

The current child support enforcement system frequently fails to acknowledge the economic realities faced by incarcerated and formerly incarcerated parents. The rapid accumulation of debt during confinement, combined with severe employment barriers and wage suppression upon release, creates financial obligations that exceed realistic capacity. This misalignment produces counterproductive outcomes, including economic instability, family disruption, and increased recidivism.

The evidence-based reforms proposed in this policy brief offer pathways toward more equitable and effective approaches to child support enforcement. By implementing debt restructuring programs, enhancing employment opportunities, adopting rehabilitative enforcement strategies, improving data systems, and investing in family support services, policymakers can create systems that better serve the interests of children, parents, and communities.

Ultimately, reforming child support policies for incarcerated and formerly incarcerated parents represents not merely a matter of economic justice but an opportunity to strengthen families and communities. By acknowledging the structural barriers to economic self-sufficiency that these parents face and implementing supportive rather than purely punitive interventions, we can break intergenerational cycles of poverty and incarceration. The time for evidence-based, compassionate reform is now.

References

California Department of Child Support Services. (2021). *Debt reduction program*. State of California Health and Human Services Agency. https://childsupport.ca.gov/overview/debt-reduction-program/

Couloute, L., & Kopf, D. (2018). *Out of prison & out of work: Unemployment among formerly incarcerated people*. Prison Policy Initiative. https://www.prisonpolicy.org/reports/outofwork.html

Haney, L. (2018). Incarcerated fatherhood: The entanglements of child support debt and mass imprisonment. *American Journal of Sociology*, *124*(1), 1-48. https://doi.org/10.1086/697580

Link, N. W., & Roman, C. G. (2017). Longitudinal associations among child support debt, employment, and recidivism after prison. *The Sociological Quarterly, 58*(1), 140-160. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380253.2016.1246892

Manpower Demonstration Research Corporation (MDRC). 2020. *Can subsidized employment programs help disadvantaged job seekers? A synthesis of findings from evaluations of 13 programs*. https://www.mdrc.org/sites/default/files/sted_final_synthesis_report_feb_2020.pdf

Martin, E. (2017). *Hidden consequences: The impact of incarceration on dependent children*. National Institute of Justice Journal. U.S. Department of Justice. https://nij.ojp.gov/topics/articles/hidden-consequences-impact-incarceration-dependent-children

Maruschak, L. M., & Snell, T. L. (2023, February). *Employment of state and federal prisoners prior to incarceration, 2016*. Bureau of Justice Statistics. https://bjs.ojp.gov/employment-state-and-federal-prisoners-prior-incarceration-2016

May, R. (2017). Child support debt, employment, and wages pre- and post-incarceration. *Center for Family Policy and Practice Policy Briefing, October 2017, 17*(8), 1-2. https://cffpp.org/our_publication/october-2017-policy-briefing/

Meyer, D. R., Cancian, M., & Waring, M. K. (2020). Use of child support enforcement actions and their relationship to payments. *Children and Youth Services Review, 108*, 104672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2019.104672

National Conference of State Legislatures. (2022). *Child support and incarceration*. NCSL Criminal Justice Program. https://www.ncsl.org/human-services/child-support-and-incarceration

Pager, D. (2003). The mark of a criminal record. *American Journal of Sociology, 108*(5), 937-975. https://doi.org/10.1086/374403

The Sentencing Project. (2024). *Mass incarceration trends*. https://www.sentencingproject.org/reports/mass-incarceration-trends/

Turner, K. J., & Waller, M. R. (2016). Indebted relationships: Child support arrears and nonresident fathers' involvement with children. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, *79*(1), 24-43. https://doi.org/10.1111/jomf.12361

Tyler, T. R., & Sevier, J. (2014). How do the courts create popular legitimacy? The role of establishing the truth, punishing justly, and/or acting through just procedures. *Albany Law Review*, *77*(3), 1095-1137. https://www.albanylawreview.org/article/69958-how-do-the-courts-create-popular-legitimacy-the-role-of-establishing-the-truth-punishing-justly-and-or-acting-through-just-procedures

Washington State Department of Social and Health Services. (2023). *Washington State 2022 child support order review*. https://www.dshs.wa.gov/sites/default/files/ESA/dcs/2023-cssw/Child%20Support%20Order%20Review_2022%20final.pdf